

Local Government Innovation Fund Program

Application Score £ £

Lead Applicant	
Project Name	

	Grant Application
--	--------------------------

or

	Loan Application
--	-------------------------

The Local Government Innovation Fund Council
77 South High Street
P.O. Box 1001
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1001
(614) 995-2292

Local Government Innovation Fund Project Scoring Sheet

Section 1: Financing Measures

Financing Measures	Description	Criteria	Max Points	Applicant Self Score	Validated Score
Financial Information	<i>Applicant includes financial information (i.e., service related operating budgets) for the most recent three years and the three year period following the project. The financial information must be directly related to the scope of the project and will be used as the cost basis for determining any savings resulting from the project.</i>	Applicant provides a thorough, detailed and complete financial information	5		
		Applicant provided more than minimum requirements but did not provide additional justification or support	3		
		Applicant provided minimal financial information	1		
		Points			
Repayment Structure (Loan Only)	<i>Applicant demonstrates a viable repayment source to support loan award. Secondary source can be in the form of a debt reserve, bank participation, a guarantee from a local entity, or other collateral (i.e., emergency rainy day , or contingency fund, etc.).</i>	Applicant clearly demonstrates a secondary repayment source.	5		
		Applicant does not have a secondary repayment source.	0		
		Points			
Local Match	<i>Percentage of local matching funds being contributed to the project. This may include in-kind contributions.</i>	70% or greater	5		
		40-69.99%	3		
		10-39.99%	1		
		Points			
Total Section Points					

Section 2: Collaborative Measures

Collaborative Measures	Description	Criteria	Max Points	Applicant Self Score	Validated Score
Population	<i>Applicant's population (or the population of the area(s) served) falls within one of the listed categories as determined by the U.S. Census Bureau. Population scoring will be determined by the smallest population listed in the application. Applications from (or collaborating with) small communities are preferred.</i>	Applicant (or collaborative partner) is not a county and has a population of less than 20,000 residents	5		
		Applicant (or collaborative partner) is a county but has less than 235,000	5		
		Applicant (or collaborative partner) is not a county but has a population 20,001 or greater.	3		
		Applicant (or collaborative partner) is a county with a population of 235,001 residents or more	3		
		Points			
Participating Entities	<i>Applicant has executed partnership agreements outlining all collaborative partners and participation agreements and has resolutions of support. (Note: Sole applicants only need to provide a resolution of support from its governing entity.)</i>	More than one applicant	5		
		Single applicant	1		
		Points			
Total Section Points					

Local Government Innovation Fund Project Scoring Sheet

Section 3: Success Measures

Success Measures	Description	Criteria	Points	Applicant Self Score	Validated Score
Expected Return	<i>Applicant demonstrates as a percentage of savings (i.e., actual savings, increased revenue, or cost avoidance) an expected return. The return must be derived from the applicant's cost basis. The expected return is ranked in one of the following percentage categories:</i>	75% or greater	30		
		25.01% to 74.99%	20		
		Less than 25%	10		
			Points		
Past Success	<i>Applicant has successfully implemented, or is following project guidance from a shared services model, for an efficiency, shared service, coproduction or merger project in the past.</i>	Yes	5		
		No	0		
			Points		
Scalable/Replicable Proposal	<i>Applicant's proposal can be replicated by other local governments or scaled for the inclusion of other local governments.</i>	The project is both scalable and replicable	10		
		The project is either scalable or replicable	5		
		Does not apply	0		
			Points		
Probability of Success	<i>Applicant provides a documented need for the project and clearly outlines the likelihood of the need being met.</i>	Provided	5		
		Not Provided	0		
			Points		
Total Section Points					

Section 4: Significance Measures

Significance Measures	Description	Criteria	Points Assigned	Applicant Self Score	Validated Score
Performance Audit Implementation /Cost Benchmarking	<i>The project implements a single recommendation from a performance audit provided by the Auditor of State under Chapter 117 of the Ohio Revised Code or is informed by cost benchmarking.</i>	Project implements a recommendation from an audit or is informed by benchmarking	5		
		Project does not implement a recommendation from an audit and is not informed by benchmarking	0		
			Points		
Economic Impact	<i>Applicant demonstrates the project will a promote business environment (i.e., demonstrates a business relationship resulting from the project) and will provide for community attraction (i.e., cost avoidance with respect to taxes)</i>	Applicant clearly demonstrates economic impact	5		
		Applicant mentions but does not prove economic impact	3		
		Applicant does not demonstrate an economic impact	0		
			Points		
Response to Economic Demand	<i>The project responds to current substantial changes in economic demand for local or regional government services.</i>	Yes	5		
		No	0		
			Points		
Total Section Points					

Section 5: Council Measures			
Council Measures	Description	Criteria	Points Assigned
Council Preference	Council Ranking for Competitive Rounds	The Applicant Does Not Fill Out This Section; This is for the Local Government Innovation Fund Council only. The points for this section is based on the applicant demonstrating innovation or inventiveness with the project	
Total Section Points (10max)			

Scoring Summary			
		Applicant Self Score	Validated Score
Section 1: Financing Measures			
Section 2: Collaborative Measures			
Section 3: Success Measures			
Section 4: Significance Measures			
Total Base Points:			

Reviewer Comments