




Ohio
Local Government
 Innovation Fund



Round 5: Application Form

Step One: Fill out this Application Form in its entirety.

Step Two: Fill out the online submission form and submit your application materials. All supplemental application materials should be combined into one file for submission.

LGIF: Applicant Profile

Lead Agency	
Project Name	
Type of Request	
Request Amount	
JobsOhio Region	
Number of Collaborative Partners (including lead agency)	
Project Approach	
Project Type	



**Development
 Services Agency**

Website: http://development.ohio.gov/cs/cs_localgovfund.htm

E-mail: LGIF@development.ohio.gov

Phone: 614 | 995 2292

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Instructions	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make sure to answer each question appropriately in the space provided, not exceeding the space allowed by the answer box. • Examples of completed applications are available on the LGIF website, found here: http://development.ohio.gov/cs/cs_localgovfund.htm 	

Lead Agency			
Mailing Address:	Name:		
	Street Address:		
	City:		
	Zip:		
In what county is the lead agency located?			
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Ohio House District:</td> <td>Ohio Senate District:</td> </tr> </table>		Ohio House District:	Ohio Senate District:
Ohio House District:	Ohio Senate District:		

Section 1
Contacts

Project Contact		
Please provide information about the individual who should be contacted regarding this application.		
Mailing Address:	Name:	Title:
	Street Address:	
	City:	
	Zip:	
Email Address:	Phone Number:	

Fiscal Agency:		
Please provide information for the entity and individual serving as the fiscal agent for the project.		
Mailing Address:	Fiscal Agency:	
	Fiscal Officer:	Title:
	Street Address:	
	City:	
	Zip:	

Population		
Does the applicant (or collaborative partner) represent a city, township, or village with a population of less than 20,000 residents?	Yes	No
	List Entity	
Does the applicant (or collaborative partner) represent a county with a population of less than 235,000 residents?	Yes	No
	List Entity	

Single Applicant		
Is your organization applying as a single entity?	Yes	No

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Collaborative Partners

Does the proposal include collaborative partners?	Yes	No
---	-----	----

Applicants applying with collaborative partners are required to show proof of the partnership with a signed partnership agreement and a resolution of support from each of the partner's governing entities. If the collaborative partner does not have a governing entity, a letter of support from the partnering organization is sufficient. These documents must be received by the end of the cure period in order for each entity to count as a collaborative partner for the purposes of this application.

Nature of the Partnership

As agreed upon in the signed partnership agreement, please identify the nature of the partnership with an explanation of how the lead agency and collaborative partners will work together on the proposed project.

Section 2
Collaborative Partners

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

List of Partners

Please use the following space to list each collaborative partner who is participating in the project and is providing BOTH a resolution of support for the Local Government Innovation Fund application and has signed the partnership agreement.

Collaborative Partner # 1	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 2	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 3	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 4	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 5	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 6	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Section 2 Collaborative Partners

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Collaborative Partner # 7	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 8	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 9	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 10	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 11	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 12	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Collaborative Partner # 13	
Mailing Address:	Name:
	Street Address:
	City:
	Zip:

Section 2
Collaborative Partners

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Project Information

Provide a general description of the project, including a description of the final work product derived from the grant study or loan implementation project. This information may be used for council briefings, program and marketing materials.

Section 3

Project Information

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Project Information		
Has this project been submitted for consideration in previous LGIF Rounds?	Yes	No
If yes, in which Round(s)?		
What was the project name?		
What entity was the lead applicant?		

Past Success		
Provide a summary of past efforts to implement a project to improve efficiency, implement shared services, coproduction, or a merger (5 points).		
Applicant demonstrates Past Success	Yes	No

Section 3

Project Information

Scalable		
Provide a summary of how the applicant's proposal can be scaled for the inclusion of other entities (5 points).		
Applicant demonstrates a Scalable project	Yes	No

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Replicable		
Provide a summary of how the applicant's proposal can be replicated by other entities. A replicable project should include a component that another entity could use as a tool to implement a similar project (5 points).		
Applicant demonstrates a Replicable project	Yes	No

Section 3
Project Information

Probability of Success		
Provide a summary of the likelihood of the grant study recommendations being implemented. Applicants requesting an implementation loan should provide a summary of the probability of savings from the loan request (5 points).		
Applicant demonstrates Probability of Success	Yes	No

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Performance Audit/Cost Benchmarking		
<p>If the project is the result of recommendations from a prior performance audit provided by the Auditor of State under Chapter 117 of the Ohio Revised Code, or is informed by a previous cost benchmarking study, please attach a copy with the supporting documents. In the section below, provide a summary of the performance audit findings or cost benchmarking study results (5 points).</p>		
Prior Performance Audit or Cost Benchmarking	Yes	No

Section 3
Project Information

Economic Impact		
<p>Provide a summary of how the proposal will promote a business environment through a private sector partner (5 points) and/or provide for community attraction (3 points).</p>		
Applicant demonstrates Economic Impact	Yes	No

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Response to Economic Demand		
<p>Provide a summary of how the project responds to substantial changes in economic demand for local or regional government services. The narrative should include a description of the current and future expected service level needs (5 points).</p>		
Applicant demonstrates Response to Economic Demand	Yes	No

Section 3
Project Information

Budget Information

General Instructions

- Both the Project Budget and Program Budgets are required to be filled out in this form.
- Consolidate budget information to fit in the form. Additional budget detail may be provided in the budget narrative or in an attachment

Project Budget:

- The Project Budget should detail expenses related to the grant or loan project.
- The Project Budget justification must be explained in the Project Budget Narrative section of the application. This section is also used to explain the reasoning behind any items on the budget that are not self explanatory, and provide additional detail about project expenses.
- The Project Budget should be for the period that covers the entire project. The look-back period for in-kind contributions is two years. These contributions are considered a part of the total project costs.
- For the Project Budget, indicate which entity and revenue source will be used to fund each expense. This information will be used to help determine eligible project expenses.
- Total Sources must equal Total Uses. Include staff time and other in-kind matches in the Total Uses section of the budget.

Program Budget

- Use the Program Budget to outline the costs associated with the implementation of the program in your community.
- Six (6) years of Program Budgets should be provided. The standard submission should include three years previous budgets (actual), and three years of projections including implementation of the proposed project. A second set of three years of projections (one set including implementation of this program, and one set where no shared services occurred) may be provided in lieu of three years previous if this does not apply to the proposed project.
- Please use the Program Budget Narrative section to explain changes in expenses and revenues, and to defend the budget projections. If the budget requires the combining of costs on the budget template, please explain this in the narrative.

Return on Investment:

- A Return on Investment calculation is required, and should reference cost savings, cost avoidance and/or increased revenues indicated in Program Budget sections of the application. Use the space designated for narrative to justify this calculation, using references when appropriate.

For Loan Applications only:

- Using the space provided, outline a loan repayment structure.
- Attach three years prior financial documents related to the financial health of the lead applicant (balance sheet, income statement and a statement of cash flows).

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Project Budget

Use this space to outline all sources of funds and the uses of those funds. Both sections should include all funds related to the project, including in-kind match contributions. Use the project budget narrative on the next page to justify the project budget, and indicate the line items for which the grant will be used.

Sources of Funds

LGIF Request:

Cash Match (List Sources Below):

Source:	<input type="text"/>

In-Kind Match (List Sources Below):

Source:	<input type="text"/>
Source:	<input type="text"/>
Source:	<input type="text"/>

Total Match:

Total Sources:

Uses of Funds

	Amount	Revenue Source
Consultant Fees:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Legal Fees:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other: _____	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other: _____	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other: _____	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other: _____	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other: _____	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other: _____	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other: _____	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Total Uses:

Local Match Percentage:

* Please note that this match percentage will be included in your grant/loan agreement and cannot be changed after awards are made.

Local Match Percentage = (Match Amount/Project Cost) * 100 (10% match required)
 10-39.99% (1 point) 40-69.99% (3 points) 70% or greater (5 points)

Section 4
Financial Information

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Project Budget Narrative: Use this space to justify any expenses that are not self-explanatory.

Section 4
Financial Information

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Program Budget

Actual ___ Projected ___	FY _____ - _____	FY _____	FY _____
Expenses	Total Program Expenses	Total Program Expenses	Total Program Expenses
Salary and Benefits			
Contract Services			
Occupancy (rent, utilities, maintenance)			
Training & Professional Development			
Insurance			
Travel			
Capital & Equipment Expenses			
Supplies, Printing, Copying & Postage			
Evaluation			
Marketing			
Conferences, meetings, etc.			
Administration			
*Other - _____			
*Other - _____			
*Other - _____			
TOTAL EXPENSES			
Revenues	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues
Contributions, Gifts, Grants, & Earned Revenue			
Local Government: _____			
Local Government: _____			
Local Government: _____			
State Government			
Federal Government			
*Other - _____			
*Other - _____			
*Other - _____			
Membership Income			
Program Service Fees			
Investment Income			
TOTAL REVENUES			

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Program Budget

Actual ___ Projected ___	FY _____	FY _____	FY _____
Expenses	Total Program Expenses	Total Program Expenses	Total Program Expenses
Salary and Benefits			
Contract Services			
Occupancy (rent, utilities, maintenance)			
Training & Professional Development			
Insurance			
Travel			
Capital & Equipment Expenses			
Supplies, Printing, Copying & Postage			
Evaluation			
Marketing			
Conferences, meetings, etc.			
Administration			
*Other - _____			
*Other - _____			
*Other - _____			
TOTAL EXPENSES			
Revenues	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues
Contributions, Gifts, Grants, & Earned Revenue			
<i>Local Government:</i> _____			
<i>Local Government:</i> _____			
<i>Local Government:</i> _____			
<i>State Government</i>			
<i>Federal Government</i>			
*Other - _____			
*Other - _____			
*Other - _____			
<i>Membership Income</i>			
<i>Program Service Fees</i>			
<i>Investment Income</i>			
TOTAL REVENUES			

Lead Applicant		Round 5
Project Name		Type of Request

Program Budget

Use this space to justify your program budget and/or explain any assumptions used for the budget projections. These projections should be based on research, case studies, or industry standards and include a thoughtful justification.

Section 4: Financial Information Scoring

(5 points) Applicant provided complete and accurate budget information and narrative justification for a total of six fiscal years.

(3 points) Applicant provided complete and accurate budget information and for at least three fiscal years.

(1 point) Applicant provided complete and accurate budget information for less than three fiscal years.

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Return On Investment

Return on Investment is a performance measure used to evaluate the efficiency of an investment. To derive the expected return on investment, divide the net gains of the project by the net costs. For these calculations, please use the implementation gains and costs, NOT the project costs (the cost of the feasibility, planning, or management study)--unless the results of this study will lead to direct savings without additional implementation costs. The gains from this project should be derived from the prior and future program budgets provided, and should be justified in the return on investment narrative.

Return on Investment Formulas:

Consider the following questions when determining the appropriate ROI formula for your project. Check the box of the formula that you are using to determine your ROI. These numbers should refer to savings/revenues illustrated in projected budgets.

Do you expect cost savings from efficiency from your project?

Use this formula:
$$\frac{\text{Total \$ Saved}}{\text{Total Program Costs}} * 100 = \text{ROI}$$

Do you expect cost avoidance from the implementation of your project/program?

Use this formula:
$$\frac{\text{Total Cost Avoided}}{\text{Total Program Costs}} * 100 = \text{ROI}$$

Do you expect increased revenues as a result of your project/program?

Use this formula:
$$\frac{\text{Total New Revenue}}{\text{Total Program Costs}} * 100 = \text{ROI}$$

Do you expect some combination of savings, cost avoidance, or increased revenue as a result of your project/program? (Total Gains combines \$ Saved, Costs Avoided, and New Revenue)

Use this formula:
$$\frac{\text{Total Gains}}{\text{Total Program Costs}} * 100 = \text{ROI}$$

Expected Return on Investment = _____ * 100 =

Expected Return on Investment is:

Less than 25% (10 points)
25%-75% (20 points)
Greater than 75% (30 points)

Questions about how to calculate ROI? Please contact the Office of Redevelopment at 614-995-2292 or

lgif@development.ohio.gov

Section 4
Financial Information

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Return on Investment Justification Narrative: In the space below, describe the nature of the expected return on investment, providing justification for the numbers presented in the ROI calculation. This calculation should be based on the savings, cost avoidance, or increased revenues shown in the program budgets on the preceding pages. Use references when appropriate to justify assumptions used for cost projections.

Section 4
Financial Information

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Loan Repayment Structure

Please outline your preferred loan repayment structure. At a minimum, please include the following: the entities responsible for repayment of the loan, all parties responsible for providing match amounts and an alternative funding source (in lieu of collateral). Applicants will have two years to complete their project upon execution of the loan agreement, and the repayment period will begin upon the final disbursement of the loan funds. A description of expected savings over the term of the loan may be used as a repayment source.

Section 4
Financial Information

Applicant demonstrates a viable repayment source to support loan award. Secondary source can be in the form of a debt reserve, bank participation, a guarantee from a local entity, or other collateral (i.e. emergency, rainy day, or contingency fund, etc).

Applicant clearly demonstrates a secondary repayment source (5 points)	Applicant does not have a secondary repayment source (0 points)
--	---

Lead Applicant		Round 5	
Project Name		Type of Request	

Scoring Overview

Section 1: Collaborative Measures

Collaborative Measures	Description	Max Points		Applicant Self Score
Population	Applicant's population (or the population of the area(s) served) falls within one of the listed categories as determined by the U.S. Census Bureau. Population scoring will be determined by the smallest population listed in the application. Applications from (or collaborating with) small communities are preferred.	5		
Participating Entities	Applicant has executed partnership agreements outlining all collaborative partners and participation agreements and has resolutions of support. (Note: Sole applicants only need to provide a resolution of support from its governing entity.	5		

Section 2: Success Measures

Past Success	Applicant has successfully implemented, or is following project guidance from a shared services model, for an efficiency, shared service, coproduction or merger project in the past.	5		
Scalable	Applicant's proposal can be scaled for the inclusion of other entities.	5		
Replicable	Applicant's proposal can be replicated by other local governments.	5		
Probability of Success	Applicant provides a documented need for the project and clearly outlines the likelihood of the need being met.	5		

Section 3: Significance Measures

Performance Audit Implementation/Cost Benchmarking	The project implements a single recommendation from a performance audit provided by the Auditor of State under Chapter 117 of the Ohio Revised Code or is informed by cost benchmarking.	5		
Economic Impact	Applicant demonstrates the project will promote a business environment (i.e., demonstrates a business relationship resulting from the project) and will provide for community attraction (i.e., cost avoidance with respect to taxes).	5		
Response to Economic Demand	The project responds to current substantial changes in economic demand for local or regional government services.	5		


Section 4: Financial Measures

Financial Information	Applicant includes financial information (i.e., service related operating budgets) for the most recent three years and the three year period following the project. The financial information must be directly related to the scope of the project and will be used as the cost basis for determining any savings resulting from the project.	5		
Local Match	Percentage of local matching funds being contributed to the project. This may include in-kind contributions.	5		
Expected Return	Applicant demonstrates as a percentage of savings (i.e., actual savings, increased revenue, or cost avoidance) an expected return. The return must be derived from the applicant's cost basis.	30		
Repayment Structure (Loan Only)	Applicant demonstrates a viable repayment source to support loan award. Secondary source can be in the form of a debt reserve, bank participation, a guarantee from a local entity, or other collateral (i.e., emergency fund, rainy day fund, contingency fund, etc.).	5		


Total Points				
---------------------	--	--	--	--

PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

The INTERalliance of Greater Cincinnati ("INTERalliance"), Regional Information Technology Engagement network ("RITE") and West Shore Career Technical District ("West Shore") agree to work collaboratively on the Local Government Innovation Fund Grant. If funded, INTERalliance, RITE and West Shore agree to be responsible for design and development of a program office that will serve Cuyahoga, Lorain, Lake, Geauga, Medina, and Summit Counties. INTERalliance, RITE and West Shore will share responsibility in the project and will work together through its completion.

 8/31/2012

Doug Arthur Date
Executive Director, INTERalliance

 9/4/12

Courtney DeOreo Date
Administrator, RITE Board

 9/4/12

Jeffrey W. Patterson Date
Superintendent, West Shore Career Technical District
Superintendent, Lakewood City Schools

Memorandum of Understanding

Whereas the INTERalliance of Greater Cincinnati ("INTERalliance"), a 501(c)(3) non-profit delivering community engagement programming to stimulate STEM career pathway for high school students in the Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky region, is interested in bringing its successful community engagement model to several cities in Ohio, and

Whereas INTERalliance has established a Central Office in Cincinnati that can deliver cost-effective program design, community engagement facilitation, administration, training and support in a shared services model to many school districts across several cities at the same time, and

Whereas the Ohio Department of Development is offering Local Government Innovation Fund (LGIF) grants of up to \$100,000 for two years to provide fiscal assistance to Ohio political subdivisions for planning and implementing projects that are projected to create more efficient and effective service delivery within a specific discipline of government services for one or more entities, and

Whereas the shared services planning and deployment of the INTERalliance community engagement model concurrently in several regions, servicing many school districts within each serviced region, meets the criteria for LGIF grants in those regions, and

Whereas West Shore Career Technical District, the RITE Board, and the INTERalliance are collectively interested in bringing the INTERalliance model to Northeast Ohio (NEO) in order to serve and benefit the local high school students at the schools served by West Shore Career Technical District and other schools around the NEO region, and

Whereas West Shore Career Technical District, the RITE Board, and the INTERalliance are interested in applying for an LGIF grant in order to fund the planning of a NEO INTERalliance Program Office and related INTERalliance programming for the NEO region as part of Round 3 of the LGIF program of the Ohio Department of Development,

THEREFORE,


The parties agree to apply for an LGIF grant and, if notified of award of such grant, create upon award a formal agreement ("Agreement") between the West Shore Career Technical District and INTERalliance that allows the West Shore Career Technical District on behalf of the Lakewood City School District and the other schools served by West Shore Career Technical to receive and administrate this grant. The Agreement will stipulate the appropriate use of grant funds, benchmarks for progress, processes for distribution and release of funds, and other practices the parties agree are prudent for the responsible governing of the grant and achievement of its stipulations and expectations.

The purpose of the grant will be to contract with the INTERalliance, who will facilitate the planning, design and establishment of a "Northeast Ohio INTERalliance Program Office", as described in the LGIF Grant Application, such that this organization and its related programs will be able to sustain and continue on their own merit and with its own funding after the two-year grant period of the LGIF program has expired.

INTERalliance will, as part of planning and deployment activities, establish the NEO INTERalliance Program Office in cooperation with the RITE Board and West School Career Technical District, for the purpose of administrating and overseeing the programs designed and deployed to benefit the students of Lakewood High School and other schools served by West Shore Career Tech. INTERalliance will provide management, training, program materials, and organizational oversight of all activities of the Northeast Ohio INTERalliance Program Office.

In that the LGIF grant requires a minimum ten (10%) percent matching contribution from the collaborating partners or other sources, if for any reason the projects and programs initiated by the Northeast Ohio INTERalliance Program Office over the two years of the grant do not generate the minimum matching funds required to be compliant with the terms of the grant, the INTERalliance will guarantee and underwrite this minimum contribution to the program on behalf of the partners collaborating for the Northeast Ohio initiative.


AGREED TO AND ACCEPTED:



Doug Arthur, Executive Director
The INTERalliance of Greater Cincinnati

8/31/12


Date



West Shore Career Technical District

8/31/12

Date



Courtney DeOreo
Administrator, RITE Board

8/31/12



LAKEWOOD CITY SCHOOLS

August 27, 2012

Local Government Innovation Fund
Office of Redevelopment
77 South High Street
P.O. Box 1001
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1001

Dear Local Government Innovation Fund Council Members:

On behalf of Lakewood City Schools, I strongly support the grant application for the planning and creation of a Northeast Ohio career pathways high-school engagement strategy based on the successful INTERalliance model in Greater Cincinnati. This strategy will be developed in partnership with the Northeast Ohio Regional Information Technology Engagement (RITE) Board and Network which includes West Shore Career Technical District. RITE is an award-winning collaboration that champions IT careers, proactively develops industry-synchronized educational programs, develops and attracts talent, and facilitates the fulfillment of IT jobs.

INTERalliance is a powerful facilitated community engagement framework that addresses specific shortfalls in the workforce readiness and talent attraction/retention efforts of a local community. INTERalliance will find a ready network in RITE to create the framework for a robust portfolio of highly desired programs that make an immediate, sustained impact on Northeast Ohio, especially in high tech fields like information technology, information management, and specialty engineering and sciences.

Through funds received from regional employers, the State of Ohio, the Northeast Ohio Fund for Economic Future and in-kind services provided by Lorain County Community College, RITE boasts a growing network of employers, colleges, universities, high school administrators, nonprofit organizations, economic development actors, workforce agencies, and other government entities and has a proven model for IT talent development in the region. This project aligns with the aims of this network, this model and RITE's 2013 Business Plan as it allows Northeast Ohio to replicate a highly successful high school-to-IT career program throughout the Cleveland, Akron and Canton areas.

The West Shore Career-Technical District is a public secondary educational institution that prepares students for college and careers in NE Ohio. By partnering with colleges, business and other agencies to align our curriculum with college, while preparing students for 21st century jobs; we will together meet the needs of employers. Through collaboration we can identify skill gaps and make a difference in educating and retaining a trained workforce in NE Ohio.

We look forward to working with INTERalliance and our Northeast Ohio partners on this project. I hope that you will be a part of our continued success.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey W. Patterson
Superintendent
Lakewood City Schools

Jeffrey W. Patterson
Superintendent
(216) 529-4092
(216) 228-8327-Fax

Executive Offices
1470 Warren Road
Lakewood, Ohio
44107-3997

August 31, 2012

Local Government Innovation Fund
Office of Redevelopment
77 South High Street
P.O. Box 1001
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1001

Dear Local Government Innovation Fund Council Members:

On behalf of the INTERalliance of Greater Cincinnati, I strongly support the grant application for the planning and creation of a Northeast Ohio career pathways high-school engagement strategy based on the INTERalliance model for engagement we have successfully developed and deployed in the Greater Cincinnati region.

We intend to deploy this proven INTERalliance strategy in coordination and partnership with the RITE Board and its key partner – West Shore Career Technical District. The creation of a Northeast Ohio INTERalliance Program Office, using a highly leverage shared services model, will bring to the already extraordinary work being done by the RITE Board and its partners the critical addition of a pipeline of enhanced connections to the young people in high schools around the Northeast Ohio region.

The INTERalliance of Greater Cincinnati has cultivated its model of community engagement throughout the Greater Cincinnati region since 2005, engaging to date more than 73 high schools, 4 universities, and over 75 employers in a collaborative effort to cultivate STEM career pathways. The model has been built as a best practices-based methodology that we are eager to utilize to support and enhance the efforts in Northeast Ohio, as well as other regions around the State of Ohio. The shared mission is to collaboratively address the mission-critical shortfall in students pursuing STEM career pathways in our State and around the nation.

We look forward to working with West Shore Career Technical District, the RITE Board, and other collaborative partners in Northeast Ohio, and hope that you will be a part of our continued success.

Sincerely,



Doug Arthur
Executive Director
The INTERalliance of Greater Cincinnati



August 31, 2012

Local Government Innovation Fund
Office of Redevelopment
77 South High Street
P.O. Box 1001
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1001

Dear Local Government Innovation Fund Council Members:

On behalf of the Northeast Ohio Regional Information Technology Engagement (RITE) Board, I strongly support the grant application for the planning and creation of a Northeast Ohio career pathways high-school engagement strategy based on the successful INTERalliance model in Greater Cincinnati. This strategy will be developed in partnership with the RITE Board and its network which includes its key partner, the West Shore Career Technical District. RITE champions IT careers, proactively develops industry-synchronized educational programs, and facilitates the fulfillment of IT jobs.

Through funds received from regional employers, the State of Ohio, the Northeast Ohio Fund for Economic Future and in-kind services provided by Lorain County Community College, RITE boasts a growing network of employers, colleges, universities, high schools, nonprofit organizations, economic and workforce development agencies, and other government entities. RITE has a proven model for IT talent development in the region. This project aligns with the aims of this network, this model and RITE's 2013 Business Plan as it allows Northeast Ohio to replicate INTERalliance's highly successful community engagement methodology and programs throughout the Greater Cleveland area.

RITE recognizes that INTERalliance can help NEO to assertively address local education and career pathway shortfalls for high school, college-bound students. INTERalliance will find a region ready to adapt and deliver a robust portfolio of highly desired high-school to college and career programs. These programs will make an immediate and sustained impact on Northeast Ohio, especially in high tech fields like information technology, information management, and specialty engineering and sciences.

We look forward to working with INTERalliance, West Shore Career Technical District and others throughout Northeast Ohio on this project. I hope that you will be a part of our continued success.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bill Blausey". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Bill Blausey
Sr. Vice President and Chief Information Officer, Eaton
Chairman, RITE Board



DP-1

Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics: 2010

2010 Demographic Profile Data

NOTE: For more information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/dpsf.pdf>.

Geography: Blue Ash city, Hamilton County, Ohio

Subject	Number	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	12,114	100.0
Under 5 years	652	5.4
5 to 9 years	732	6.0
10 to 14 years	831	6.9
15 to 19 years	767	6.3
20 to 24 years	564	4.7
25 to 29 years	819	6.8
30 to 34 years	741	6.1
35 to 39 years	707	5.8
40 to 44 years	738	6.1
45 to 49 years	843	7.0
50 to 54 years	1,067	8.8
55 to 59 years	1,024	8.5
60 to 64 years	760	6.3
65 to 69 years	503	4.2
70 to 74 years	425	3.5
75 to 79 years	393	3.2
80 to 84 years	296	2.4
85 years and over	252	2.1
Median age (years)	41.6	(X)
16 years and over	9,722	80.3
18 years and over	9,364	77.3
21 years and over	9,037	74.6
62 years and over	2,276	18.8
65 years and over	1,869	15.4
Male population	5,933	49.0
Under 5 years	336	2.8
5 to 9 years	394	3.3
10 to 14 years	437	3.6
15 to 19 years	409	3.4
20 to 24 years	264	2.2
25 to 29 years	417	3.4
30 to 34 years	396	3.3
35 to 39 years	339	2.8
40 to 44 years	354	2.9
45 to 49 years	382	3.2
50 to 54 years	512	4.2
55 to 59 years	495	4.1
60 to 64 years	378	3.1
65 to 69 years	227	1.9
70 to 74 years	207	1.7
75 to 79 years	171	1.4
80 to 84 years	123	1.0
85 years and over	92	0.8

Subject	Number	Percent
Median age (years)	39.7	(X)
16 years and over	4,670	38.6
18 years and over	4,474	36.9
21 years and over	4,308	35.6
62 years and over	1,025	8.5
65 years and over	820	6.8
Female population	6,181	51.0
Under 5 years	316	2.6
5 to 9 years	338	2.8
10 to 14 years	394	3.3
15 to 19 years	358	3.0
20 to 24 years	300	2.5
25 to 29 years	402	3.3
30 to 34 years	345	2.8
35 to 39 years	368	3.0
40 to 44 years	384	3.2
45 to 49 years	461	3.8
50 to 54 years	555	4.6
55 to 59 years	529	4.4
60 to 64 years	382	3.2
65 to 69 years	276	2.3
70 to 74 years	218	1.8
75 to 79 years	222	1.8
80 to 84 years	173	1.4
85 years and over	160	1.3
Median age (years)	43.8	(X)
16 years and over	5,052	41.7
18 years and over	4,890	40.4
21 years and over	4,729	39.0
62 years and over	1,251	10.3
65 years and over	1,049	8.7
RACE		
Total population	12,114	100.0
One Race	11,854	97.9
White	9,682	79.9
Black or African American	787	6.5
American Indian and Alaska Native	20	0.2
Asian	1,290	10.6
Asian Indian	778	6.4
Chinese	255	2.1
Filipino	41	0.3
Japanese	41	0.3
Korean	69	0.6
Vietnamese	9	0.1
Other Asian [1]	97	0.8
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	6	0.0
Native Hawaiian	5	0.0
Guamanian or Chamorro	1	0.0
Samoan	0	0.0
Other Pacific Islander [2]	0	0.0
Some Other Race	69	0.6
Two or More Races	260	2.1
White; American Indian and Alaska Native [3]	29	0.2
White; Asian [3]	80	0.7
White; Black or African American [3]	75	0.6
White; Some Other Race [3]	12	0.1
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races: [4]		
White	9,889	81.6
Black or African American	891	7.4
American Indian and Alaska Native	68	0.6

Subject	Number	Percent
Asian	1,406	11.6
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	14	0.1
Some Other Race	113	0.9
HISPANIC OR LATINO		
Total population	12,114	100.0
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	308	2.5
Mexican	142	1.2
Puerto Rican	28	0.2
Cuban	10	0.1
Other Hispanic or Latino [5]	128	1.1
Not Hispanic or Latino	11,806	97.5
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	12,114	100.0
Hispanic or Latino	308	2.5
White alone	215	1.8
Black or African American alone	3	0.0
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	5	0.0
Asian alone	4	0.0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0
Some Other Race alone	46	0.4
Two or More Races	35	0.3
Not Hispanic or Latino	11,806	97.5
White alone	9,467	78.1
Black or African American alone	784	6.5
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	15	0.1
Asian alone	1,286	10.6
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	6	0.0
Some Other Race alone	23	0.2
Two or More Races	225	1.9
RELATIONSHIP		
Total population	12,114	100.0
In households	12,025	99.3
Householder	5,015	41.4
Spouse [6]	2,691	22.2
Child	3,347	27.6
Own child under 18 years	2,511	20.7
Other relatives	476	3.9
Under 18 years	196	1.6
65 years and over	82	0.7
Nonrelatives	496	4.1
Under 18 years	43	0.4
65 years and over	29	0.2
Unmarried partner	211	1.7
In group quarters	89	0.7
Institutionalized population	68	0.6
Male	46	0.4
Female	22	0.2
Noninstitutionalized population	21	0.2
Male	11	0.1
Female	10	0.1
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	5,015	100.0
Family households (families) [7]	3,404	67.9
With own children under 18 years	1,437	28.7
Husband-wife family	2,691	53.7
With own children under 18 years	1,064	21.2
Male householder, no wife present	190	3.8
With own children under 18 years	89	1.8
Female householder, no husband present	523	10.4
With own children under 18 years	284	5.7

Subject	Number	Percent
Nonfamily households [7]	1,611	32.1
Householder living alone	1,338	26.7
Male	552	11.0
65 years and over	129	2.6
Female	786	15.7
65 years and over	384	7.7
Households with individuals under 18 years	1,559	31.1
Households with individuals 65 years and over	1,345	26.8
Average household size	2.40	(X)
Average family size [7]	2.91	(X)
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	5,360	100.0
Occupied housing units	5,015	93.6
Vacant housing units	345	6.4
For rent	103	1.9
Rented, not occupied	7	0.1
For sale only	76	1.4
Sold, not occupied	17	0.3
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	45	0.8
All other vacants	97	1.8
Homeowner vacancy rate (percent) [8]	2.1	(X)
Rental vacancy rate (percent) [9]	6.6	(X)
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	5,015	100.0
Owner-occupied housing units	3,569	71.2
Population in owner-occupied housing units	8,803	(X)
Average household size of owner-occupied units	2.47	(X)
Renter-occupied housing units	1,446	28.8
Population in renter-occupied housing units	3,222	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied units	2.23	(X)

X Not applicable.

[1] Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

[2] Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

[3] One of the four most commonly reported multiple-race combinations nationwide in Census 2000.

[4] In combination with one or more of the other races listed. The six numbers may add to more than the total population, and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

[5] This category is composed of people whose origins are from the Dominican Republic, Spain, and Spanish-speaking Central or South American countries. It also includes general origin responses such as "Latino" or "Hispanic."

[6] "Spouse" represents spouse of the householder. It does not reflect all spouses in a household. Responses of "same-sex spouse" were edited during processing to "unmarried partner."

[7] "Family households" consist of a householder and one or more other people related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. They do not include same-sex married couples even if the marriage was performed in a state issuing marriage certificates for same-sex couples. Same-sex couple households are included in the family households category if there is at least one additional person related to the householder by birth or adoption. Same-sex couple households with no relatives of the householder present are tabulated in nonfamily households. "Nonfamily households" consist of people living alone and households which do not have any members related to the householder.

[8] The homeowner vacancy rate is the proportion of the homeowner inventory that is vacant "for sale." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for sale only" by the sum of owner-occupied units, vacant units that are "for sale only," and vacant units that have been sold but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

[9] The rental vacancy rate is the proportion of the rental inventory that is vacant "for rent." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for rent" by the sum of the renter-occupied units, vacant units that are "for rent," and vacant units that have been rented but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.



DP-1

Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics: 2010

2010 Demographic Profile Data

NOTE: For more information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/dpsf.pdf>.

Geography: Cuyahoga County, Ohio

Subject	Number	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	1,280,122	100.0
Under 5 years	74,793	5.8
5 to 9 years	76,816	6.0
10 to 14 years	83,351	6.5
15 to 19 years	90,130	7.0
20 to 24 years	78,335	6.1
25 to 29 years	81,986	6.4
30 to 34 years	76,000	5.9
35 to 39 years	76,059	5.9
40 to 44 years	82,814	6.5
45 to 49 years	95,248	7.4
50 to 54 years	101,938	8.0
55 to 59 years	90,182	7.0
60 to 64 years	73,929	5.8
65 to 69 years	52,933	4.1
70 to 74 years	42,673	3.3
75 to 79 years	36,842	2.9
80 to 84 years	32,672	2.6
85 years and over	33,421	2.6
Median age (years)	40.2	(X)
16 years and over	1,027,469	80.3
18 years and over	989,860	77.3
21 years and over	939,045	73.4
62 years and over	240,415	18.8
65 years and over	198,541	15.5
Male population	607,362	47.4
Under 5 years	37,733	2.9
5 to 9 years	38,929	3.0
10 to 14 years	42,551	3.3
15 to 19 years	45,737	3.6
20 to 24 years	38,379	3.0
25 to 29 years	39,395	3.1
30 to 34 years	36,796	2.9
35 to 39 years	36,620	2.9
40 to 44 years	39,676	3.1
45 to 49 years	45,486	3.6
50 to 54 years	48,955	3.8
55 to 59 years	43,157	3.4
60 to 64 years	34,479	2.7
65 to 69 years	23,594	1.8
70 to 74 years	18,095	1.4
75 to 79 years	14,950	1.2
80 to 84 years	12,439	1.0
85 years and over	10,391	0.8

Subject	Number	Percent
Median age (years)	38.4	(X)
16 years and over	479,138	37.4
18 years and over	460,073	35.9
21 years and over	434,437	33.9
62 years and over	98,818	7.7
65 years and over	79,469	6.2
Female population	672,760	52.6
Under 5 years	37,060	2.9
5 to 9 years	37,887	3.0
10 to 14 years	40,800	3.2
15 to 19 years	44,393	3.5
20 to 24 years	39,956	3.1
25 to 29 years	42,591	3.3
30 to 34 years	39,204	3.1
35 to 39 years	39,439	3.1
40 to 44 years	43,138	3.4
45 to 49 years	49,762	3.9
50 to 54 years	52,983	4.1
55 to 59 years	47,025	3.7
60 to 64 years	39,450	3.1
65 to 69 years	29,339	2.3
70 to 74 years	24,578	1.9
75 to 79 years	21,892	1.7
80 to 84 years	20,233	1.6
85 years and over	23,030	1.8
Median age (years)	41.7	(X)
16 years and over	548,331	42.8
18 years and over	529,787	41.4
21 years and over	504,608	39.4
62 years and over	141,597	11.1
65 years and over	119,072	9.3
RACE		
Total population	1,280,122	100.0
One Race	1,253,386	97.9
White	814,103	63.6
Black or African American	380,198	29.7
American Indian and Alaska Native	2,578	0.2
Asian	32,883	2.6
Asian Indian	11,778	0.9
Chinese	8,991	0.7
Filipino	3,308	0.3
Japanese	947	0.1
Korean	2,181	0.2
Vietnamese	2,023	0.2
Other Asian [1]	3,655	0.3
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	285	0.0
Native Hawaiian	80	0.0
Guamanian or Chamorro	87	0.0
Samoan	14	0.0
Other Pacific Islander [2]	104	0.0
Some Other Race	23,339	1.8
Two or More Races	26,736	2.1
White; American Indian and Alaska Native [3]	2,518	0.2
White; Asian [3]	4,137	0.3
White; Black or African American [3]	9,777	0.8
White; Some Other Race [3]	2,546	0.2
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races: [4]		
White	835,527	65.3
Black or African American	396,157	30.9
American Indian and Alaska Native	8,991	0.7

Subject	Number	Percent
Asian	39,136	3.1
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	1,203	0.1
Some Other Race	28,443	2.2
HISPANIC OR LATINO		
Total population	1,280,122	100.0
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	61,270	4.8
Mexican	8,797	0.7
Puerto Rican	39,068	3.1
Cuban	1,153	0.1
Other Hispanic or Latino [5]	12,252	1.0
Not Hispanic or Latino	1,218,852	95.2
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	1,280,122	100.0
Hispanic or Latino	61,270	4.8
White alone	28,126	2.2
Black or African American alone	5,230	0.4
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	560	0.0
Asian alone	268	0.0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	68	0.0
Some Other Race alone	21,497	1.7
Two or More Races	5,521	0.4
Not Hispanic or Latino	1,218,852	95.2
White alone	785,977	61.4
Black or African American alone	374,968	29.3
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	2,018	0.2
Asian alone	32,615	2.5
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	217	0.0
Some Other Race alone	1,842	0.1
Two or More Races	21,215	1.7
RELATIONSHIP		
Total population	1,280,122	100.0
In households	1,250,871	97.7
Householder	545,056	42.6
Spouse [6]	204,401	16.0
Child	365,246	28.5
Own child under 18 years	256,801	20.1
Other relatives	72,979	5.7
Under 18 years	28,520	2.2
65 years and over	11,102	0.9
Nonrelatives	63,189	4.9
Under 18 years	3,587	0.3
65 years and over	2,908	0.2
Unmarried partner	34,043	2.7
In group quarters	29,251	2.3
Institutionalized population	15,465	1.2
Male	7,036	0.5
Female	8,429	0.7
Noninstitutionalized population	13,786	1.1
Male	7,692	0.6
Female	6,094	0.5
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	545,056	100.0
Family households (families) [7]	319,996	58.7
With own children under 18 years	138,294	25.4
Husband-wife family	204,401	37.5
With own children under 18 years	77,431	14.2
Male householder, no wife present	24,307	4.5
With own children under 18 years	10,365	1.9
Female householder, no husband present	91,288	16.7
With own children under 18 years	50,498	9.3

Subject	Number	Percent
Nonfamily households [7]	225,060	41.3
Householder living alone	193,371	35.5
Male	84,841	15.6
65 years and over	19,065	3.5
Female	108,530	19.9
65 years and over	47,835	8.8
Households with individuals under 18 years	154,582	28.4
Households with individuals 65 years and over	147,102	27.0
Average household size	2.29	(X)
Average family size [7]	3.01	(X)
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	621,763	100.0
Occupied housing units	545,056	87.7
Vacant housing units	76,707	12.3
For rent	32,522	5.2
Rented, not occupied	1,369	0.2
For sale only	9,679	1.6
Sold, not occupied	2,356	0.4
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	2,463	0.4
All other vacants	28,318	4.6
Homeowner vacancy rate (percent) [8]	2.8	(X)
Rental vacancy rate (percent) [9]	13.2	(X)
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	545,056	100.0
Owner-occupied housing units	331,876	60.9
Population in owner-occupied housing units	804,136	(X)
Average household size of owner-occupied units	2.42	(X)
Renter-occupied housing units	213,180	39.1
Population in renter-occupied housing units	446,735	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied units	2.10	(X)

X Not applicable.

[1] Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

[2] Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

[3] One of the four most commonly reported multiple-race combinations nationwide in Census 2000.

[4] In combination with one or more of the other races listed. The six numbers may add to more than the total population, and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

[5] This category is composed of people whose origins are from the Dominican Republic, Spain, and Spanish-speaking Central or South American countries. It also includes general origin responses such as "Latino" or "Hispanic."

[6] "Spouse" represents spouse of the householder. It does not reflect all spouses in a household. Responses of "same-sex spouse" were edited during processing to "unmarried partner."

[7] "Family households" consist of a householder and one or more other people related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. They do not include same-sex married couples even if the marriage was performed in a state issuing marriage certificates for same-sex couples. Same-sex couple households are included in the family households category if there is at least one additional person related to the householder by birth or adoption. Same-sex couple households with no relatives of the householder present are tabulated in nonfamily households. "Nonfamily households" consist of people living alone and households which do not have any members related to the householder.

[8] The homeowner vacancy rate is the proportion of the homeowner inventory that is vacant "for sale." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for sale only" by the sum of owner-occupied units, vacant units that are "for sale only," and vacant units that have been sold but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

[9] The rental vacancy rate is the proportion of the rental inventory that is vacant "for rent." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for rent" by the sum of the renter-occupied units, vacant units that are "for rent," and vacant units that have been rented but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.



DP-1

Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics: 2010

2010 Demographic Profile Data

NOTE: For more information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/dpsf.pdf>.**Geography: Hamilton County, Ohio**

Subject	Number	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	802,374	100.0
Under 5 years	53,269	6.6
5 to 9 years	51,301	6.4
10 to 14 years	51,823	6.5
15 to 19 years	57,712	7.2
20 to 24 years	59,608	7.4
25 to 29 years	57,995	7.2
30 to 34 years	50,120	6.2
35 to 39 years	47,330	5.9
40 to 44 years	50,516	6.3
45 to 49 years	58,865	7.3
50 to 54 years	61,033	7.6
55 to 59 years	53,500	6.7
60 to 64 years	42,439	5.3
65 to 69 years	29,865	3.7
70 to 74 years	23,465	2.9
75 to 79 years	20,356	2.5
80 to 84 years	16,791	2.1
85 years and over	16,386	2.0
Median age (years)	37.1	(X)
16 years and over	635,345	79.2
18 years and over	612,734	76.4
21 years and over	576,191	71.8
62 years and over	130,833	16.3
65 years and over	106,863	13.3
Male population	385,221	48.0
Under 5 years	26,884	3.4
5 to 9 years	25,996	3.2
10 to 14 years	26,486	3.3
15 to 19 years	29,463	3.7
20 to 24 years	29,646	3.7
25 to 29 years	28,132	3.5
30 to 34 years	24,633	3.1
35 to 39 years	23,050	2.9
40 to 44 years	24,493	3.1
45 to 49 years	28,443	3.5
50 to 54 years	29,244	3.6
55 to 59 years	25,535	3.2
60 to 64 years	20,014	2.5
65 to 69 years	13,645	1.7
70 to 74 years	10,036	1.3
75 to 79 years	8,242	1.0
80 to 84 years	6,358	0.8
85 years and over	4,921	0.6

Subject	Number	Percent
Median age (years)	35.3	(X)
16 years and over	300,456	37.4
18 years and over	288,881	36.0
21 years and over	270,206	33.7
62 years and over	54,431	6.8
65 years and over	43,202	5.4
Female population	417,153	52.0
Under 5 years	26,385	3.3
5 to 9 years	25,305	3.2
10 to 14 years	25,337	3.2
15 to 19 years	28,249	3.5
20 to 24 years	29,962	3.7
25 to 29 years	29,863	3.7
30 to 34 years	25,487	3.2
35 to 39 years	24,280	3.0
40 to 44 years	26,023	3.2
45 to 49 years	30,422	3.8
50 to 54 years	31,789	4.0
55 to 59 years	27,965	3.5
60 to 64 years	22,425	2.8
65 to 69 years	16,220	2.0
70 to 74 years	13,429	1.7
75 to 79 years	12,114	1.5
80 to 84 years	10,433	1.3
85 years and over	11,465	1.4
Median age (years)	38.8	(X)
16 years and over	334,889	41.7
18 years and over	323,853	40.4
21 years and over	305,985	38.1
62 years and over	76,402	9.5
65 years and over	63,661	7.9
RACE		
Total population	802,374	100.0
One Race	785,188	97.9
White	552,330	68.8
Black or African American	205,952	25.7
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,617	0.2
Asian	16,182	2.0
Asian Indian	5,612	0.7
Chinese	3,616	0.5
Filipino	1,385	0.2
Japanese	841	0.1
Korean	1,344	0.2
Vietnamese	1,142	0.1
Other Asian [1]	2,242	0.3
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	603	0.1
Native Hawaiian	87	0.0
Guamanian or Chamorro	231	0.0
Samoan	35	0.0
Other Pacific Islander [2]	250	0.0
Some Other Race	8,504	1.1
Two or More Races	17,186	2.1
White; American Indian and Alaska Native [3]	1,981	0.2
White; Asian [3]	2,598	0.3
White; Black or African American [3]	7,640	1.0
White; Some Other Race [3]	969	0.1
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races: [4]		
White	567,032	70.7
Black or African American	216,782	27.0
American Indian and Alaska Native	5,806	0.7

Subject	Number	Percent
Asian	20,016	2.5
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	1,075	0.1
Some Other Race	10,431	1.3
HISPANIC OR LATINO		
Total population	802,374	100.0
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	20,607	2.6
Mexican	9,583	1.2
Puerto Rican	2,111	0.3
Cuban	682	0.1
Other Hispanic or Latino [5]	8,231	1.0
Not Hispanic or Latino	781,767	97.4
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	802,374	100.0
Hispanic or Latino	20,607	2.6
White alone	10,057	1.3
Black or African American alone	1,204	0.2
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	398	0.0
Asian alone	102	0.0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	129	0.0
Some Other Race alone	6,839	0.9
Two or More Races	1,878	0.2
Not Hispanic or Latino	781,767	97.4
White alone	542,273	67.6
Black or African American alone	204,748	25.5
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	1,219	0.2
Asian alone	16,080	2.0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	474	0.1
Some Other Race alone	1,665	0.2
Two or More Races	15,308	1.9
RELATIONSHIP		
Total population	802,374	100.0
In households	782,863	97.6
Householder	333,945	41.6
Spouse [6]	131,527	16.4
Child	229,101	28.6
Own child under 18 years	167,916	20.9
Other relatives	41,530	5.2
Under 18 years	18,188	2.3
65 years and over	4,779	0.6
Nonrelatives	46,760	5.8
Under 18 years	2,934	0.4
65 years and over	1,570	0.2
Unmarried partner	21,716	2.7
In group quarters	19,511	2.4
Institutionalized population	8,644	1.1
Male	4,137	0.5
Female	4,507	0.6
Noninstitutionalized population	10,867	1.4
Male	6,252	0.8
Female	4,615	0.6
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	333,945	100.0
Family households (families) [7]	197,571	59.2
With own children under 18 years	88,733	26.6
Husband-wife family	131,527	39.4
With own children under 18 years	51,721	15.5
Male householder, no wife present	14,561	4.4
With own children under 18 years	6,911	2.1
Female householder, no husband present	51,483	15.4
With own children under 18 years	30,101	9.0

Subject	Number	Percent
Nonfamily households [7]	136,374	40.8
Householder living alone	113,120	33.9
Male	49,206	14.7
65 years and over	9,594	2.9
Female	63,914	19.1
65 years and over	25,592	7.7
Households with individuals under 18 years	99,231	29.7
Households with individuals 65 years and over	78,034	23.4
Average household size	2.34	(X)
Average family size [7]	3.04	(X)
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	377,364	100.0
Occupied housing units	333,945	88.5
Vacant housing units	43,419	11.5
For rent	20,210	5.4
Rented, not occupied	731	0.2
For sale only	6,162	1.6
Sold, not occupied	1,545	0.4
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	1,680	0.4
All other vacants	13,091	3.5
Homeowner vacancy rate (percent) [8]	3.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate (percent) [9]	12.9	(X)
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	333,945	100.0
Owner-occupied housing units	198,750	59.5
Population in owner-occupied housing units	498,252	(X)
Average household size of owner-occupied units	2.51	(X)
Renter-occupied housing units	135,195	40.5
Population in renter-occupied housing units	284,611	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied units	2.11	(X)

X Not applicable.

[1] Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

[2] Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

[3] One of the four most commonly reported multiple-race combinations nationwide in Census 2000.

[4] In combination with one or more of the other races listed. The six numbers may add to more than the total population, and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

[5] This category is composed of people whose origins are from the Dominican Republic, Spain, and Spanish-speaking Central or South American countries. It also includes general origin responses such as "Latino" or "Hispanic."

[6] "Spouse" represents spouse of the householder. It does not reflect all spouses in a household. Responses of "same-sex spouse" were edited during processing to "unmarried partner."

[7] "Family households" consist of a householder and one or more other people related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. They do not include same-sex married couples even if the marriage was performed in a state issuing marriage certificates for same-sex couples. Same-sex couple households are included in the family households category if there is at least one additional person related to the householder by birth or adoption. Same-sex couple households with no relatives of the householder present are tabulated in nonfamily households. "Nonfamily households" consist of people living alone and households which do not have any members related to the householder.

[8] The homeowner vacancy rate is the proportion of the homeowner inventory that is vacant "for sale." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for sale only" by the sum of owner-occupied units, vacant units that are "for sale only," and vacant units that have been sold but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

[9] The rental vacancy rate is the proportion of the rental inventory that is vacant "for rent." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for rent" by the sum of the renter-occupied units, vacant units that are "for rent," and vacant units that have been rented but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.



DP-1

Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics: 2010

2010 Demographic Profile Data

NOTE: For more information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/dpsf.pdf>.

Geography: Lakewood city, Cuyahoga County, Ohio

Subject	Number	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	52,131	100.0
Under 5 years	3,023	5.8
5 to 9 years	2,640	5.1
10 to 14 years	2,792	5.4
15 to 19 years	2,818	5.4
20 to 24 years	3,904	7.5
25 to 29 years	5,936	11.4
30 to 34 years	4,562	8.8
35 to 39 years	3,806	7.3
40 to 44 years	3,529	6.8
45 to 49 years	3,629	7.0
50 to 54 years	3,830	7.3
55 to 59 years	3,324	6.4
60 to 64 years	2,608	5.0
65 to 69 years	1,662	3.2
70 to 74 years	1,192	2.3
75 to 79 years	964	1.8
80 to 84 years	972	1.9
85 years and over	940	1.8
Median age (years)	35.4	(X)
16 years and over	43,131	82.7
18 years and over	41,916	80.4
21 years and over	40,285	77.3
62 years and over	7,198	13.8
65 years and over	5,730	11.0
Male population	25,613	49.1
Under 5 years	1,551	3.0
5 to 9 years	1,318	2.5
10 to 14 years	1,456	2.8
15 to 19 years	1,444	2.8
20 to 24 years	1,916	3.7
25 to 29 years	2,966	5.7
30 to 34 years	2,341	4.5
35 to 39 years	1,946	3.7
40 to 44 years	1,810	3.5
45 to 49 years	1,833	3.5
50 to 54 years	1,874	3.6
55 to 59 years	1,672	3.2
60 to 64 years	1,251	2.4
65 to 69 years	774	1.5
70 to 74 years	508	1.0
75 to 79 years	375	0.7
80 to 84 years	336	0.6
85 years and over	242	0.5

Subject	Number	Percent
Median age (years)	34.5	(X)
16 years and over	21,001	40.3
18 years and over	20,390	39.1
21 years and over	19,549	37.5
62 years and over	2,940	5.6
65 years and over	2,235	4.3
Female population	26,518	50.9
Under 5 years	1,472	2.8
5 to 9 years	1,322	2.5
10 to 14 years	1,336	2.6
15 to 19 years	1,374	2.6
20 to 24 years	1,988	3.8
25 to 29 years	2,970	5.7
30 to 34 years	2,221	4.3
35 to 39 years	1,860	3.6
40 to 44 years	1,719	3.3
45 to 49 years	1,796	3.4
50 to 54 years	1,956	3.8
55 to 59 years	1,652	3.2
60 to 64 years	1,357	2.6
65 to 69 years	888	1.7
70 to 74 years	684	1.3
75 to 79 years	589	1.1
80 to 84 years	636	1.2
85 years and over	698	1.3
Median age (years)	36.5	(X)
16 years and over	22,130	42.5
18 years and over	21,526	41.3
21 years and over	20,736	39.8
62 years and over	4,258	8.2
65 years and over	3,495	6.7
RACE		
Total population	52,131	100.0
One Race	50,739	97.3
White	45,598	87.5
Black or African American	3,340	6.4
American Indian and Alaska Native	149	0.3
Asian	988	1.9
Asian Indian	217	0.4
Chinese	158	0.3
Filipino	73	0.1
Japanese	49	0.1
Korean	68	0.1
Vietnamese	49	0.1
Other Asian [1]	374	0.7
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	9	0.0
Native Hawaiian	4	0.0
Guamanian or Chamorro	3	0.0
Samoan	0	0.0
Other Pacific Islander [2]	2	0.0
Some Other Race	655	1.3
Two or More Races	1,392	2.7
White; American Indian and Alaska Native [3]	220	0.4
White; Asian [3]	269	0.5
White; Black or African American [3]	523	1.0
White; Some Other Race [3]	117	0.2
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races: [4]		
White	46,836	89.8
Black or African American	4,052	7.8
American Indian and Alaska Native	503	1.0

Subject	Number	Percent
Asian	1,344	2.6
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	41	0.1
Some Other Race	850	1.6
HISPANIC OR LATINO		
Total population	52,131	100.0
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	2,147	4.1
Mexican	442	0.8
Puerto Rican	1,077	2.1
Cuban	80	0.2
Other Hispanic or Latino [5]	548	1.1
Not Hispanic or Latino	49,984	95.9
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	52,131	100.0
Hispanic or Latino	2,147	4.1
White alone	1,257	2.4
Black or African American alone	102	0.2
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	22	0.0
Asian alone	11	0.0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0
Some Other Race alone	532	1.0
Two or More Races	223	0.4
Not Hispanic or Latino	49,984	95.9
White alone	44,341	85.1
Black or African American alone	3,238	6.2
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	127	0.2
Asian alone	977	1.9
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	9	0.0
Some Other Race alone	123	0.2
Two or More Races	1,169	2.2
RELATIONSHIP		
Total population	52,131	100.0
In households	51,761	99.3
Householder	25,274	48.5
Spouse [6]	7,499	14.4
Child	12,806	24.6
Own child under 18 years	9,391	18.0
Other relatives	1,968	3.8
Under 18 years	667	1.3
65 years and over	290	0.6
Nonrelatives	4,214	8.1
Under 18 years	153	0.3
65 years and over	100	0.2
Unmarried partner	2,234	4.3
In group quarters	370	0.7
Institutionalized population	304	0.6
Male	84	0.2
Female	220	0.4
Noninstitutionalized population	66	0.1
Male	30	0.1
Female	36	0.1
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	25,274	100.0
Family households (families) [7]	11,207	44.3
With own children under 18 years	5,234	20.7
Husband-wife family	7,499	29.7
With own children under 18 years	3,154	12.5
Male householder, no wife present	966	3.8
With own children under 18 years	467	1.8
Female householder, no husband present	2,742	10.8
With own children under 18 years	1,613	6.4

Subject	Number	Percent
Nonfamily households [7]	14,067	55.7
Householder living alone	11,316	44.8
Male	5,418	21.4
65 years and over	732	2.9
Female	5,898	23.3
65 years and over	1,749	6.9
Households with individuals under 18 years	5,675	22.5
Households with individuals 65 years and over	4,480	17.7
Average household size	2.05	(X)
Average family size [7]	2.99	(X)
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	28,498	100.0
Occupied housing units	25,274	88.7
Vacant housing units	3,224	11.3
For rent	1,959	6.9
Rented, not occupied	69	0.2
For sale only	304	1.1
Sold, not occupied	141	0.5
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	108	0.4
All other vacants	643	2.3
Homeowner vacancy rate (percent) [8]	2.7	(X)
Rental vacancy rate (percent) [9]	12.0	(X)
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	25,274	100.0
Owner-occupied housing units	10,956	43.3
Population in owner-occupied housing units	26,092	(X)
Average household size of owner-occupied units	2.38	(X)
Renter-occupied housing units	14,318	56.7
Population in renter-occupied housing units	25,669	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied units	1.79	(X)

X Not applicable.

[1] Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

[2] Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

[3] One of the four most commonly reported multiple-race combinations nationwide in Census 2000.

[4] In combination with one or more of the other races listed. The six numbers may add to more than the total population, and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

[5] This category is composed of people whose origins are from the Dominican Republic, Spain, and Spanish-speaking Central or South American countries. It also includes general origin responses such as "Latino" or "Hispanic."

[6] "Spouse" represents spouse of the householder. It does not reflect all spouses in a household. Responses of "same-sex spouse" were edited during processing to "unmarried partner."

[7] "Family households" consist of a householder and one or more other people related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. They do not include same-sex married couples even if the marriage was performed in a state issuing marriage certificates for same-sex couples. Same-sex couple households are included in the family households category if there is at least one additional person related to the householder by birth or adoption. Same-sex couple households with no relatives of the householder present are tabulated in nonfamily households. "Nonfamily households" consist of people living alone and households which do not have any members related to the householder.

[8] The homeowner vacancy rate is the proportion of the homeowner inventory that is vacant "for sale." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for sale only" by the sum of owner-occupied units, vacant units that are "for sale only," and vacant units that have been sold but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

[9] The rental vacancy rate is the proportion of the rental inventory that is vacant "for rent." It is computed by dividing the total number of vacant units "for rent" by the sum of the renter-occupied units, vacant units that are "for rent," and vacant units that have been rented but not yet occupied; and then multiplying by 100.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

What is the INTERalliance? The INTERalliance is a non-for-profit 501(c)(3) collaboration of Greater Cincinnati / Northern Kentucky regional businesses and educators, designing and implementing programs that create a compelling reason for local IT talent to stay in southwest Ohio both for college and their careers... to “stop the brain drain.”



The Vision and Mission: To establish the Greater Cincinnati Region as a model of cooperation between business and educators – working together to identify, nurture, train, employ, and retain the area’s best IT talent. To create a renowned, thriving and sustainable pool of IT talent in the Greater Cincinnati / Northern Kentucky region that not only fulfills local demand, but also is strong enough to actually attract new employers to the area. To Identify, Nurture, Train, Employ, Retain the region’s top young technology talent.

Student Participation to Date:

- Nearly 1,200 local students from 70 regional high schools have participated in programs since 2006.
- 700 high school sophomores will have attended the IT Careers Camp programs at UC, Miami, and NKU so far
- 200+ high school upperclassmen have received paid summer internships at P&G, Kroger, GE, Atos, KAO Brands, Scripps, Cintas, Cincinnati Bell, Crush Republic, Giftiki, Fifth Third Bank, FirstGroup America, ShareThis, Zakta, Ascendum, Pomeroy, Paycor, KnowledgeWorks, Schulman Associates, SoMoLend, eMerge Health Solutions, YoungThinking as well as the INTERalliance Central Office

“Game-changing” Program Offerings:

- **IT Careers Camps** at local universities (UC, Miami, Oxford, NKU, adding Ohio University in 2012)
- **Paid IT internships** for high school juniors and seniors



“TechOlympics Expo” annual 3-day expo / conference and inter-school tech/ gaming competitions



“TechJAM” 1-day inter-school competitions in the odd-numbered years



Multi-year 1:1 mentoring program for




1:1 students-tutoring-student program, paying top math performers to help struggling out-of-school students at Cincinnati Jobs Corps and around the city.



“Super Smart Kids” programming/web development task force managed by the students that delivers projects to real-world clients on a fixed-price basis.

- **Faculty in-service workshops** on 21st Century programming, how to teach / mentor **Generation Y and Generation Z**



underserved

underprivileged students

Board of Directors: Jim Scott, CIO, KnowledgeWorks Foundation, INTERalliance Board Chair; Jeannine Abele, CIO, GE Aviation - Commercial Engines and Services; Catherine Allshouse, Director, Software Development, KnowledgeWorks; Kirk Ball, VP, Kroger; John Burns, President, Cincinnati Bell Technology Solutions; Dr. Vivek Choudhury, Associate Dean, Graduate Studies, College of Business, University of Cincinnati; Normand Desmairas, Founding Partner, TIER1 Performance Solutions; Chris DeWitt, Faculty, Wyoming High School; Dilip Lillaney, Associate Director, Global Business Services, Procter & Gamble; Margie Matthews, Assistant Technology Director, Saint Ursula Academy; Melanie Moody, Associate Director, Global Business Services, P&G (retired); Joe Robinson, EVP/CIO, Fifth Third Bank; Piyush Singh, SVP/CIO, Great American Insurance; Geoff Smith, President, LP Enterprises/Co-founder, Cincinnati CIO Roundtable; Mahendra Vora, Chairman, The Vora Group; Kathy Wright, Program Facilitator, Hughes STEM High School

April 14, 2013

Thea J. Walsh, AICP
Deputy Chief, Office of Redevelopment
Ohio Department of Development

Re: Cure – INTERalliance of Northeast Ohio

We are pleased to provide responses to the questions posed by the Ohio Development Services Agency regarding our application for a Round 5 Local Government Innovation Fund grant to provide funds for planning of the INTERalliance of Northeast Ohio. For your convenience, the issues raised have been copied into this response letter, followed by our response.

3. *Project Budget*

The project budget requires attention. Please address the following issues: Sources and uses of funds must be equal to each other. As submitted the uses of exceed the sources by \$6,000. Provide more detail on the Project Budget narrative (Page 13); use the narrative to explain the information listed in the Project Budget (Page 12). Please note that LFIG funds can pay for salary costs, but not for benefits

RESPONSE:

Local Corporate Sponsors was incorrectly listed as \$14,000. It should have been listed as \$20,000, or \$10,000 per year. These corporate sponsor contributions will be solicited after the design/planning process is initiated and key interested corporate participants are identified by the collaborating partners – West Shore Career Technical District and Lakewood City School District, the RITE Board, and INTERalliance.

The \$156,000 project budget to be utilized over two years (approximately \$78,000 per year) will be utilized to establish the INTERalliance Program Office and implement a series of monthly planning, design, and piloting sessions to set the stage for ongoing implementation of programs in Northeast Ohio.

The \$78,000 estimated expenditures per year can be approximately distributed as follows:

Salaries & Consultant Fees	\$65,000
Travel	\$9,000
Printing & Publication (budget/year)	\$1,000
Meeting Expenditures @ \$250/month	\$3,000
TOTAL	\$78,000

Expense Details:

- Salaries & Consultant Fees:
 - Principal Consultant: \$30,000
 - Associate Consultant: \$20,000
 - Administrative Support: \$15,000

- Travel estimate: \$9,000/year
 - The travel estimate assumes one consultant trip from Cincinnati to the Cleveland area for 2 persons per month for the 24 months of the grant period at \$750 per trip, estimated as follows:
 - 590 miles @ \$0.555/mile: \$327.
 - Hotel: 1 night @ \$100/night x 2 persons: \$200
 - Meals & Incidentals @ \$55/day x 2 days/trip x 2 persons = \$220
 - TOTAL per trip: \$747, rounded to \$750

- Printing & publication expense: \$1,000 estimated budget, including postage
- Meeting Expense @ \$250/month -- Food expense for 25 people at \$10/person

4. *Program Budget*

The program budget is incomplete. Please provide 6 years of program budget.

RESPONSE:

Six years of program budget are provided as 3 years of back-calculated “hypothetical” actuals for 2010-2012, and 3 years of projected program expenses for 2013-2015. The program budget numbers for 2010, 2011, 2012 are back-calculated estimates in that the INTERalliance of Northeast Ohio does not yet exist. But if it did exist, and was able to experience a similar to growth curve to what INTERalliance has experienced in other cities (Cincinnati, Fort Wayne, San Diego, etc.), we are estimating that 2010-2012 might look approximately as represented. For instance, the estimated number of interns in 2013 of 25 led us to back-estimate 5, then 10, then 15 interns in 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively, based on our experience with similar programs in other cities. The programs goals would have been the same for 2010-2012 as they will be for 2013-2015 – namely to connect local students to local employers and create viable and sustainable IT and STEM career pathways that engage these local high school students in career exploration activities. The initiatives to be funded by the LGIF grant are planning and design activities. The local employers will be engaged to fund, add substance to, and sustain the implementation of programs designed through the efforts of the INTERalliance of Northeast Ohio Program Office funded by the LGIF grant.

5. *Return on Investment*

Please provide further documentation to explain how any cost savings, cost avoidances, increased revenue used in the ROI were calculated. Please contact the Office of Redevelopment at 614-995-2292 or by email at lgif@development.ohio.gov if you need additional guidance on the appropriate documentation to include.

RESPONSE:

The essence of the Return on Investment that can be realized from the establishment of an INTERalliance Program Office is in the efficiencies realized and costs avoided by providing a “central engine” for program deployment that will benefit and be utilized in least 21 school districts in Northeast Ohio. If each individual school district implemented a program of the robustness possible in a synergistic, shared services model, the cost per year for each school district is estimated to be approximately \$78,000 per year. This expenditure includes salaries and consultant fees, meeting and publication expense. Over three years, the expense for 21 high schools/school districts would reach \$4.8 million (\$77,600/school x 21 schools x 3 years).

The program cost for a shared services model that can service 21+ school districts is estimated at \$251,250, significantly less than individually implementing the model in 21 schools. The resulting cost avoidance of \$4.6M over three years solves to a cost avoidance ROI of 424%.

We are excited about the prospect of working with our partners at West Shore Career Technical District, the local school districts, and the RITE Board and its stakeholders to bring this highly effective program model to the students, schools, and employers of Northeast Oio. If you require any additional information to support this grant application, please contact me directly at 513-378-2172 or doug.arthur@interalliance.org.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Doug Arthur'.

Doug Arthur
Executive Director