



**Development
Services Agency**

Fiscal Year 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan Executive Summary

May 2014

Prepared By:
Ohio Development Services Agency
Community Services Division
Office of Community Development

Introduction

This Executive Summary is being provided pursuant to the March 13, 2006 revisions to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Consolidated Plan regulations. Those regulations require that an Executive Summary be prepared, which must include:

- A summary of the citizen participation and consultation process (pages 1-2).
- Objectives and outcomes and an evaluation of past performance (pages 11-23)
- Summary of comments on the plan and responses to comments (pages 24-26)

A summary of the proposed revisions for the Draft FY 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan are on pages 3-9, as well as a budget table that appears on page 10. The amount of HUD funds listed in the budget table are based on the FY 2014 HUD Allocations, and the Ohio Housing Trust Fund allocations are pending Controlling Board approval. Information on the state's past performance includes a listing of each program's goals and objectives, as well as performance measures and performance indicators for each objective. Please note that the 2012 programs will not be fully implemented until June 30, 2014. Therefore, the most current summary data addressing past performance is for the 2012 program year. Much more detailed information about the 2012 programs and activities is available in the Fiscal Year 2012 Consolidated Plan Annual Performance and Evaluation Report, which is required to report on the progress that the state has made in carrying out its Strategic Plan and its Action Plan. The report covers the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, the HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program, the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program and the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program. Copies of the FY 2012 Annual Performance Report (APR) may be obtained from the Office of Community Development OCD upon request at (614) 466-2285 or in person at the OCD office, which is located at 77 South High Street, 26th floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215. The FY 2012 APR is also available online at http://development.ohio.gov/cs/cs_ocp.htm.

FY 2014 Citizen Participation and Consultation Process

OCD carried out a number of activities designed to obtain comments, perspectives, and opinions of citizens during the preparation of the FY 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan. Notification of all public hearings and meetings was made at least 10 days in advance of the meetings through newsletters, direct mail and through posting on the OCD website at http://development.ohio.gov/cs/cs_ocp.htm. Records of these actions and documentation are available for review at the OCD office, located on the 26th Floor at 77 South High Street in Columbus, Ohio during regular business hours. All facilities and meeting times selected as part of the citizen participation process were chosen to accommodate persons with disabilities. The specific citizen participation activities are described as follows.

1. Public Hearing On Needs

OCD held a public hearing on needs issues on September 17, 2013 in Room 1932 on the 19th Floor of the Riffe Center, in Columbus. Notification of the Public Hearing on Needs was directly mailed to approximately 1000 local communities, organizations and agencies throughout the state at least 30 days in advance. The notification was also published on OCD's website. The notification summarized the state's planning process for the Ohio Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan, and solicited participation in OCD's Program Advisory Committee meetings. Written comments on needs issues were accepted by OCD for a 15-day period between September 2, 2013 and September 17, 2013. Comments made at the Public Hearing on Needs, or received by OCD prior to the conclusion of the hearing, were distributed to the advisory committee members for their consideration during the planning process.

2. Program Advisory Committees

Meetings were held with eight Program Advisory Committees on October 1 and 2, 2013. Each of the Program Advisory Committees were comprised of at least 10 members, including local officials, program administrators, nonprofit organizations, and other agencies, organizations and individuals familiar with OCD's programs and/or the Housing Development Assistance Program administered by the Ohio Housing Finance Agency (OHFA). OCD solicited participation on the Program Advisory Committees by directly mailing information to all local communities, organizations and persons on the OCD mailing list, which includes approximately 900 communities and

organizations. The mailing also provided notification about the Public Hearing on Needs. The following Program Advisory Committee meetings were held:

- Community Development Program Advisory Committee
- Residential Public Infrastructure Grant Program Advisory Committee
- Fair Housing/New Horizons Program Advisory Committee
- Economic Development Program Advisory Committee
- Community Housing Impact and Preservation Program Advisory Committee
- Housing Development Assistance Program (HDAP) Advisory Committee
- Homeless Crisis Response Program / Housing Assistance Grant Program Advisory Committee
- Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program Advisory Committee

3. Consolidated Plan Advisory Committee Meeting

The FY 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan Advisory Committee will meet on February 6, 2014 to review the Draft FY 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan. The Ohio Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan Advisory Committee is comprised of 21 persons who represent a variety of public and private organizations that are involved with programs and issues related to housing and community development.

4. Notification of Public Comment Period and Distribution of Plan

On February 28, 2014, notification will be sent to approximately 900 communities, agencies and organizations, informing them that the Draft FY 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan and Executive Summary were to be posted on OCD's website at http://development.ohio.gov/cs/cs_ocp.htm for review and comment. This notification also announced the beginning of the mandatory 30-day public comment period on the draft plan, including a public hearing on March 13, 2014 in Room 1932 on the 19th Floor of the Riffe Center, 77 South High Street, in Columbus, Ohio at 1:30 p.m. All comments received will be included in the Draft FY 2014 Ohio Annual Action Plan.

5. Submission to HUD

The final Ohio Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan document will be submitted via Integrated Disbursement Information and Information Systems (IDIS) to HUD for a 45-day review period on or about May 14, 2014. Notification of the posting and availability of the final FY 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan will be sent to approximately 900 communities, agencies and organizations throughout the state.

Fiscal Year 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan Summary of Proposed Revisions

I. Community Housing Impact and Preservation Program

- The former Community Housing Improvement Program has been renamed as the Community Housing Impact and Preservation Program. The mission of the redesigned program is to preserve and improve the affordable housing stock for low- and moderate-income Ohioans and strengthen neighborhoods through community collaboration, as well as through efficient, flexible, and impactful approaches.
- The grant application deadline will now take place on June 6th.
- The grant ceiling of the Community Housing Impact and Preservation Program has been adjusted to allow for joint collaborative applications between cities and counties, as well as maintain the single community applications. The following list includes specific information with regards to how the funds can be awarded based upon joint and single community applications:

○ Single County	\$400,000
○ Single City with a population of at least 15,000	\$350,000
○ Single City with a population between 5,000 and 14,999	\$300,000
○ Partnering County (borders do not exceed two adjacent counties)	\$450,000
○ Partnering City (within its own County) with a population of at least 15,000	\$400,000
○ Partnering City (within its own County) population between 5,000-14,999	\$350,000

- Regardless of the number of communities in the partnership, the maximum grant ceiling will be **\$1.6 million**. Prior to submitting the application, the partnership shall prepare a plan for expending the awarded funds throughout each jurisdiction.
- A county containing three or more eligible cities with which they may join is limited to forming a partnership that does not go beyond that county's boundaries.
- Non-entitlement cities and counties that are part of a participating jurisdiction consortium and entitlement/non-participating jurisdictions must apply as single-community applicants.
- Jurisdictions are eligible for FY 2014 Community Housing Impact and Preservation funding only if they were eligible to have applied for FY 2012 or FY 2013 funding under the Community Housing Improvement Program by means of having an approved Community Housing Improvement Strategy and Policy and Procedures Manual.
- New housing construction, with the exception of Habitat for Humanity projects, has been removed as eligible activity. The Eligible Project Categories with Respective Activities are as follows:

Rehabilitation Assistance

- Owner Rehabilitation
- Rental Rehabilitation

Repair Assistance

- Owner Home Repair
- Rental Home Repair

Homeownership Assistance

- Homeownership (Down Payment Assistance/Rehabilitation or Down Payment Assistance only)
- New Construction with Habitat for Humanity

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance

Administration

Fair Housing

- 100% of funds must be budgeted for activities benefiting low- or moderate-income households.

- The Rating Criteria has been updated as follows:
 - Needs (15 total points) – Community Distress and Planning
 - Capacity (25 total points)
 - Performance (30 total points)
 - Impact (30 total points) – Program Design/Impact, Partnership Development, Cost Effectiveness, Leverage and Coordination and Collaboration.
- The level of Community Distress will be based on low- and moderate-income household needs across the state using an average of the participating communities percentage of low- and moderate-income populations, the percentage of households paying more than 35 percent of income for housing, age of housing stock, and unemployment rates.

II. Housing Development Assistance Program

- The Recapture Requirements will be in line with the Final HOME Rules of July 24, 2013. The Ohio Housing Finance Agency will submit a Recapture Requirements Plan to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development which, upon acceptance, will detail the actual recapture requirements and be included in the Homeownership Development – Loan section of the Annual Action Plan.

III. Community Housing Development Organization Operating Grant Program

- The Minimum Funding Requirement section has been updated to address the issue of “effective control,” which includes the following items:
 - The Community Housing Development Organization is the sole owner or developer;
 - The Community Housing Development Organization can demonstrate active input in the pre-development and the development/construction phase of the qualifying development. This includes, at a minimum, decision-making in design, location and financing decisions;
 - At least one on-staff person is well-versed in the specifics of the qualifying development and can demonstrate that by being relied upon to be the lead contact with Ohio Housing Finance Agency for all phases of the development. This includes detailed knowledge of the financial aspects of the development;
 - The Community Housing Development Organization is the majority owner of the general or managing partnership in a Low-Income Housing Tax Credit project or is in partnership with another developer in a non- Low-Income Housing Tax Credit project and the Community Housing Development Organization will earn an appropriate share of the developer’s fee.

IV. Homeless Crisis Response Program

- All language pertaining to shelter diversion activities has been removed and replaced with homelessness prevention.
- The “Outcome” component of the Rating Criteria section has been expanded to include the following performance measures and language:
 - Number of persons and households served
 - Occupancy rates (viewed in relation to shelter’s role in community’s strategy to end homelessness)
 - Average length of stay
 - Percentage of leavers exiting into permanent housing
 - Percentage of leavers employed at exit

- Percentage of leavers receiving at least one source of non-cash benefit at exit
- Number of households provided rapid re-housing assistance

Specific performance measures for homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Number of persons and households served.
 - Length of time to house
 - number/percentage of households that return to homelessness
 - other factors under development (to be outlined in application)
- “Effectiveness” has been added as a component of Rating Criteria so that applicants can demonstrate how the program is cost effective.

V. Supportive Housing Program

- The goal of the Supportive Housing Program has been updated to target homeless persons with disabilities.
- Supportive Housing Program funds will be awarded to eligible transitional housing and permanent supportive housing providers on a competitive basis. While the Supportive Housing Program is a competitive program, preference in the review process will be given to programs currently funded by the Office of Community Development that are meeting Office of Community Development’s performance standards for transitional housing and permanent supportive housing.
- Transitional Housing facilities that either serve homeless youth, victims of domestic violence, or persons re-entering a community from an institution or in recovery from substance abuse or that comply with a national best practice or research supported design will be given funding priority.
- Transitional Housing activities, which were previously limited to project based program models are now limited to facility-based or sponsor-based program models.
- All language pertaining to shelter diversion activities has been removed and replaced with homelessness prevention.
- The following changes have been proposed for the Rating Criteria section of the supportive Housing Program
 - Administrative Capacity may take into account past monitoring visits when rating the applications.
 - Program Design was reduced from 30 points to 15 points.
 - Targeting was increased from 5 points to 10 points.
 - Need was reduced from 15 points to 10 points.
 - HMIS Data Quality/Outcomes was increased from 15 points to 30 points.

VI. Housing Assistance Grant Program

There are no major changes being proposed for FY 2014.

VII. Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program

- The Eligible Activities section has been updated to include tenant-based rental assistance and permanent housing placement.
- Applications will not be rated on how proposals will fit in with the local community’s continuum of care.

VIII. Community Development Program

The Community Development Program has been updated to address funding levels and the total number of awards available per applicant. The following are major programmatic changes being proposed:

- Communities with no open awards may apply for up to three (3) competitive set-asides annually. A community's eligibility to apply for FY 2014 competitive set-aside programs is reduced, based upon its existing open competitive set-aside programs; for example, a community awarded one (1) FY 2013 competitive set-aside is only eligible to apply for two (2) FY 2014 competitive set-asides.

Communities may apply for multiple combinations of competitive set-asides. Please note, applicants are limited to a maximum of three (3) total competitive applications. See table below for maximum annual competitive applications, based upon set-aside program and applicant type:

Competitive Set-Aside Program	County	Direct City
Neighborhood Revitalization Program	2	2
Downtown Revitalization Program	2	1
Critical Infrastructure Program	2	2

Counties may apply for up to two (2) of the Neighborhood Revitalization, Downtown Revitalization, or Critical Infrastructure competitive set-asides on behalf of different local jurisdictions (cities, villages, or townships) annually. Each local jurisdiction may only have one (1) open award at any given time.

Direct cities may apply for up to two (2) Neighborhood Revitalization and Critical Infrastructure competitive set-asides annually; however, direct cities are limited to one (1) Downtown Revitalization competitive set-aside. Jurisdictions awarded FY 2013 Downtown Revitalization competitive set-aside program funds may not reapply for FY 2014 Downtown Revitalization fund. Unsuccessful Downtown Revitalization Program applicants may reapply, and successful communities may apply for alternative set-aside programs.

Community Development Allocation Grants

The Community Development Allocation Grant Program has been updated to address eligible activities. The following are major programmatic changes being proposed:

- Community Development Allocation funds can be used for public service activities as long as the proposed activity is new service, or a quantifiable increase in the level of an existing service. Communities may use no more than 15 percent of the grant funds, exclusive of administration and fair housing, for eligible public service activities. The Office of Community Development will no longer grant waiver requests to exceed the 15 percent cap.
- Community Development Allocation Program funds can be used for planning activities related to eligible Community Development Block Grant projects. Planning activities will count towards the grantee's maximum project cap and must meet a number of requirements.

Communities may use no more than 10 percent or \$10,000, whichever is less, exclusive of administration and fair housing, for eligible planning activities. Communities with Revolving Loan Fund accounts will be required to use Revolving Loan Fund funds to undertake proposed planning activities. If a community's Revolving Loan Fund account balance is insufficient to cover the entire cost of a proposed planning activity, the community may apply for Allocation funds to cover the shortfall. The Office of Community Development will no longer grant waiver requests to exceed the 10 percent/\$10,000 cap.

Neighborhood Revitalization Grants

The following are the programmatic changes being proposed to the Neighborhood Revitalization Grants program:

- At a minimum, Neighborhood Revitalization program applications must include three activities.
- The 75 Percent Expenditure Threshold section requiring that applicants must have expended 75 percent of any previously awarded Neighborhood Revitalization Program grant funds, exclusive of administration, has been removed for FY 2014.

Downtown Revitalization Grants

The following are the programmatic changes being proposed to the Neighborhood Revitalization Grants program:

- The 75 Percent Expenditure Threshold section requiring that applicants must have expended 75 percent of any previously awarded Downtown Revitalization Program grant funds, exclusive of administration, has been removed for FY 2014.

Critical Infrastructure Grants

There are no proposed changes to the Critical Infrastructure Grant Program.

IX. Economic Development Loan and Infrastructure Grant Program

Proposed program changes that correspond to each category of the Economic Development Loan and Infrastructure Grant Program section are listed below:

Economic Development Loan Program

- Applicants are required to submit a pre-application to the Office of Community Development prior to being invited to submit a full application. Pre-applications will be evaluated based on the proposed project's consistency with programmatic thresholds. The public benefit of a proposed project will also be considered in the Office of Community Development's decision to invite an applicant to submit a full application.

Economic Development Public Infrastructure Grant Program

No major programmatic changes are anticipated in FY 2014.

Residential Public Infrastructure Grant Program

- All applicants must be able to demonstrate that they have the ability to administer a Residential Public Infrastructure Grant Program. The Office of Community Development may require a county to apply for grant funds on the behalf of a city or village within its jurisdiction if administrative capacity cannot be demonstrated by the city or village.
- The total amount of points awarded in the application for Regionalization and Shared Services has been increased from 10 points to 15 points.
- The total amount of points awarded in the application for Community's Financial Capacity and Rate Structure has been reduced from 20 points to 15 points.

X. Discretionary Grant Programs

Economic and Community Development

- For Downtown Targets of Opportunity projects only single building projects will be considered. Buildings must also be included in the National Register of Historic, in the Ohio Historic Inventory or in a Local Historic District.

Housing

- Application descriptions should include information on how the project meets eligibility, and preliminary sources and uses table.
- Previously, the Office of Community Development was required to review applications within 30 days of receipt. For FY 2014, the Office of Community Development staff will conduct reviews of initial application submissions received at least quarterly.

Homelessness

- This program will no longer include \$100,000 of Ohio Housing Trust Fund dollars. Only \$100,000 of Emergency Solutions Discretionary Program funds will available.
- Previously, the Office of Community Development was required to respond within two weeks of receipt as to the status of the application. For FY 2014, the Office of Community Development staff will conduct reviews of initial application submissions received at least quarterly.

Neighborhood Stabilization

- Funds generated from Program Income as part of Ohio's Neighborhood Stabilization Programs will be used to fund this new program.
- Funding will be determined based on applicant's need and projects should provide a reasonable amount of matching funds and/or direct "in-kind" services.
- Eligible jurisdictions include non-entitlement cities and counties previously funded through the state of Ohio's Neighborhood Stabilization Program 1 and non-profit consortium members previously funded through the state of Ohio's Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2.
- All Neighborhood Stabilization Program eligible activities will be permitted with the exception of land banking. Twenty-five percent of the state's funds must be spent on projects benefitting persons at or below 50 percent of the Area Median Income. Preference will be given to projects that meet this requirement. A maximum of 10 percent of each grant award can be used for administration.

XI. New Horizons Fair Housing Assistance Program

There are no major changes being proposed for FY 2014.

FY 2014 Consolidated Plan Program

Programs	Federal And State Funds Total	Pct. of Total	Consolidated Plan Total ⁽¹⁾	Pct. of Total	Funding Sources				
					1	2	3	4	5
					Federal CDBG	Federal HOME	Federal ESG	Federal HOPWA	State OHTF ⁽²⁾
Community Housing Impact and Preservation Program	\$ 20,228,300	30.5%	\$ 20,228,300	30.5%	\$ 8,500,000	\$ 11,728,300			*
Housing Development Assistance Program ⁽²⁾	\$ 3,800,000	5.7%	\$ 3,800,000	5.7%		\$ 3,800,000			*
CHDO Competitive Operating Grant Program	\$ 700,000	1.1%	\$ 700,000	1.1%		\$ 700,000			
Affordable Housing Subtotal	\$ 24,728,300	37.2%	\$ 24,728,300	37.2%	\$ 8,500,000	\$ 16,228,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Homeless Crisis Response Grant Program ⁽³⁾	\$ 4,805,000	7.2%	\$ 4,805,000	7.2%			\$ 4,805,000		*
Supportive Housing Grant Program	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	0.0%					*
Housing Assistance Grant Program	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	0.0%					*
Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS	\$ 1,265,233	1.9%	\$ 1,265,233	1.9%				\$ 1,265,233	
Homelessness & Supportive Housing Subtotal	\$ 6,070,233	9.1%	\$ 6,070,233	9.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,805,000	\$ 1,265,233	\$ -
Community Development Program ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 20,400,000	30.7%	\$ 20,400,000	30.7%	\$ 20,400,000				
Economic Dev. & Public Infrastructure Program ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 10,700,000	16.1%	\$ 10,700,000	16.1%	\$ 10,700,000				
Microenterprise Business Development Program	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -				*
Community & Economic Development Subtotal	\$ 31,100,000	46.8%	\$ 31,100,000	46.8%	\$ 31,100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Discretionary Grant Program	\$ 1,000,000	1.5%	\$ 1,000,000	1.5%	\$ 900,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000		*
New Horizons Fair Housing Assistance Program	\$ 50,000	0.1%	\$ 50,000	0.1%	\$ 50,000				
Training and Technical Assistance Funds	\$ 345,000	0.5%	\$ 345,000	0.5%	\$ 345,000				*
Community Development Finance Fund	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	0.0%					*
Resident Services Coordinator Program	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	0.0%					*
Administration ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 3,136,432	4.7%	\$ 3,136,432	4.7%	\$ 936,537	\$ 1,803,077	\$ 396,818	\$ -	*
Totals =	\$ 66,429,965	100%	\$ 66,429,965	100%	\$ 41,831,537	\$ 18,031,377	\$ 5,301,818	\$ 1,265,233	\$ -

(1) The "Consolidated Plan Total" column includes the CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA funds awarded to the State of Ohio.

(2) OHTF allocations are contingent upon approval by the OHTF Advisory Committee and the Director of the Development Services Agency. Further, OHTF grant awards are contingent upon Controlling Board approval. OHFA administers the HDAP, ODA will administer the Resident Services Coordinator Program, and Ohio CDC will administer the Microenterprise Business Development Program. Therefore, in addition to program funds, OHFA will receive HOME and OHTF administrative dollars and ODA will receive OHTF administrative dollars.

(3) The Homeless Crisis Response Grant Program includes the OHTF funding set asides required by ORC Section 174.02 and unrestricted OHTF dollars.

(4) The Community Development Program includes the funding allocation for the Formula Allocation and three competitive set asides; Neighborhood Revitalization Grants, Downtown Revitalization Grants, and Critical Infrastructure grants (Approximately 40% of the Community Development Program will be allocated for these competitive awards).

(5) The Economic Development and Public Infrastructure Program includes Small Business Loans, Off-Site Infrastructure, and Residential Water & Sewer projects that were previously funded in separate programs.

(6) Approximately 60% of the HOME and 80% of the ESG administration allocation will be awarded to grant recipients.

Program Goals, Objectives, Performance Measures and Indicators

This section provides information on performance measures that were developed as part of the FY 2010 Consolidated Plan and 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan Strategy. Note, the data for the performance indicators is based on the projected outcomes that were stated in the grant application and grant agreement based on the allocation of the latest fiscal year's funding, although the program period for many grants extends beyond a single year period. While these outcomes may vary to some extent from the actual outcomes, historically the variation has been negligible. Therefore, the Office of Community Development has concluded that it is of more value to begin the process of performance measurement based on grant award information than wait for two years or more when the grants are completed and actual outcome data is available.

Regarding long-term goals, it should be noted that the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development funding has been declining for several years, while costs have continued to escalate due to a variety of factors. In such an environment, it becomes increasingly difficult to attempt to measure performance as compared to long-term production goals established several years ago. Instead, the performance measures and indicators are focused on communicating the nature and extent of the impacts of programs contained in the Consolidated Plan, particularly as they affect Ohio's communities and residents.

**Housing - Community Housing Programs
Performance Measures Report**

Program Year 2012

Goal: To provide funding for a flexible, community-wide approach to the improvement and provision of affordable housing for low- and moderate-income persons, and to help develop local administrative capacity.

Objectives	Performance Measures	
Objective 1: Preserve affordable owner and renter housing for lower-income households by bringing the housing unit up to program standards and codes, eliminating hazards and deficiencies in major systems, and reducing maintenance cost.	Owner units brought to standard	740
	Renter units brought to standard	36
	Households unable to be assisted	not currently available
Objective 2: Eliminate lead-based paint hazards through the application of interim control measures based on a risk assessment followed by a clearance examination.	Units made lead-safe and passed clearance test	776
Objective 3: Improve affordability by reducing housing operating costs through energy efficiency improvements.	Units made more energy efficient	221
Objective 4: Improve accessibility to housing persons with disabilities by making modifications to dwelling units.	Units modified to improve accessibility for disabled persons	65
Objective 5: Expand housing opportunities for LMI households, by providing assistance that will enable them to acquire appropriate affordable housing that meets program and local standards.	New affordable units added to the housing stock	59
	Homebuyers Assisted	107
	Family Households of 3 or more persons assisted to acquire units with 3 or more bedrooms	25
	Large Family Households of 5 or more persons assisted to acquire units with 4 or more bedrooms	2
	Number of affordable unit years created	not currently available
	Renters assisted with rental assistance payments	445
	Households not assisted due to credit problems or other issues	not currently available
	Units Repaired for Immediate Health/Safety Threats	1350
Objective 6: Prevent homelessness and address immediate threats to health and safety caused by emergency housing issues, such as roof, plumbing, heating or electrical systems, or need for temporary housing assistance payments.	Households assisted with temporary housing payments Units Repaired for Immediate Health/Safety Threats	303
Objective 7: Provide supportive housing counseling services to assist lower-income households with acquiring or maintaining housing.	Persons or Families given housing counseling	308

**Community Development
Performance Indicator Report**

Program Year 2012

Goal: To provide communities with a flexible housing and community development resource that can be used to address locally identified needs that are eligible CDBG activities and qualify under the national objective of Low- and Moderate-Income benefit or Elimination of Slum and Blight.

Objectives	Performance Measures	
Objective 1: Assist Ohio cities and counties with addressing local community development needs by making grant awards to 79 counties and 49 cities in Ohio.	Total funds distributed to local communities	\$18,840,600
	Total number of activities funded (excludes administration)	514
	Number of people benefiting from funded activities	7,415,651
Objective 2: Revitalize neighborhoods and improve the quality of life for residents, by addressing all or part of the identified community development needs and/or by addressing all or part of the identified community development needs and/or housing needs in 100 areas annually	Number of Investment Areas Impacted by CDBG revitalization activities	150
	CDBG-funded improvements that address identified needs in local Investment Areas	199
	Persons benefiting from CDBG activities in Investment Areas	288,401
	Low- and moderate-income persons benefiting from CDBG-funded Investment area activities	162,661
	Total CDBG Funds in Activities in Investment Areas	\$8,169,400
	Other Funds	\$23,636,389
Objective 3: Address basic health and safety issues by constructing and or upgrading basic water and sanitary sewer infrastructure to comply with standards established by the EPA	Communities assisted with new or upgraded water or sanitary sewer systems that comply with EPA	24
Objective 4: Address basic health and safety needs of low-moderate income persons, neighborhoods and communities.	Number of communities assisted with fire protection equipment	23
	Number of persons in communities benefiting from improved fire protection equipment or facilities	82,912
	Number of low or moderate-income persons benefiting from improved fire equipment or facilities	47,035

**Community Development
Performance Indicator Report**

Program Year 2012

Objectives	Performance Measures	
Objective 5: Improve the quality of life for elderly persons and special needs populations by providing locally determined public services and facilities	Persons assisted by public services by type of service provided	
	Youth Programs and Services	65
	Services for Disabled Persons	2,191
	Services for Elderly Persons	172,594
	Medical and Nutrition Programs	10,481
	Homeless and D.V. Services	520
	Not indicated	19,998
Objective 6: Improve the quality of life for elderly persons and special needs populations by providing locally determined public services and facilities	Number of Senior Centers improved or constructed	
	Number of elderly persons benefiting from construction or improvements to senior centers	17,712
Objective 7: Maintain the cultural heritage of local communities through Historic Preservation activities	Local Historic Structures Preserved	
		3

**Economic Development Performance
Measures Report**

Program Year 2012

Goal: The principal goal of the Economic Development Program is to create and retain permanent, private-sector job opportunities, principally for low- and moderate-income persons, through the expansion and retention of business and industry in Ohio communities.

Objectives	Performance Measures	
Objective 1: Create/retain 750 jobs and at least 400 jobs for LMI persons.	Number of communities provided with economic development grant assistance	5
	Number of people whose jobs were created or retained as a result of CDBG-assisted economic development projects	221
	Number of jobs created/retained for low- or moderate-income persons	130
Objective 2: Provide CDBG assistance such that the average total cost per job created/retained is \$10,000 or less.	Average annual CDBG cost per job	\$8,710
Objective 3: Maximize participation of other resources such that projects leverage at least \$10 of other funds for \$1 CDBG funds (\$10 : \$1 leverage ratio)	Annual leverage ratio (other funds : CDBG funds)	\$11 : \$1
Objective 4: Improve the economic health and sustainability of local communities by adding to the tax base and local economy through expansion or retention of the existing businesses.	(a.) Annual local income tax revenue	\$31,090
	(b.) Annual local corporate tax revenue	Not reported
	(c.) Annual property tax revenue	\$138,636
	Total (a-c) Additional local tax revenues generated annually	\$169,726
	Projected additional dollars expended in the local economy annually	\$22,464,550
Objective 5: Increase the number of high-value business and jobs (high-technology/manufacturing) in local communities.	High-value businesses created, expanded or retained	2

**Economic Development Performance
Measures Report**

Program Year 2012

Objectives	Performance Measures	
Objective 6: Provide training and financial support to prospective business owners to facilitate the creation of new businesses.	New businesses created	1
Objective 7: Support the revitalization and rejuvenation of neighborhoods and communities, particularly areas with economic needs, through investment in new or existing businesses.	Number of businesses located in ODSA-designated distressed area	3
	Number of businesses located in locally-designated Enterprise Zone	not currently collected
	Number of businesses located in Central business district revitalization area	not currently collected
	Number of businesses located in Low-income neighborhood or community	5
Objective 8: Support the creation and retention of business providing "living wage" jobs.	Number and percent of jobs that are created or retained that exceed 150% of the poverty level	not currently collected
	Number and percent of jobs created or retained that provide employee health benefits	161 72%

CR-65 Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	94
Children	83
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	177

Table 1 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	68
Children	46
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	114

Table 2 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	291
Children	99
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	390

Table 3 – Shelter Information

4d. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	453
Children	228
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	681

Table 4 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	368
Female	313
Transgendered	0
Unknown	0
Total	681

Table 5 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	228
18-24	82
Over 24	371
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	681

Table 6 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters	Total
Veterans	29	6	3	20
Victims of Domestic Violence	68	19	16	33
Elderly	12	3	3	6
HIV/AIDS	3	1	0	2
Chronically Homeless	58	0	10	43
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	68	12	12	44
Chronic Substance Abuse	45	2	1	42
Other Disability	63	13	11	37
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	237	43	39	155

Table 7 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – Assistance Provided

8. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	12,851
Total Number of bed-nights provided	8,598
Capacity Utilization	66.91%

Table 8 – Shelter Capacity

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	1,058,700	744,400
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	1,058,700	744,400

Table 9 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	602,000	1,807,800
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	602,000	1,807,800

Table 10 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Essential Services	2,297,900	1,711,300	2,805,050
Operations	707,100	1,401,200	0
Renovation	0	0	41,200
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	3,005,000	3,112,500	2,846,250

Table 11 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
HMIS	0	58,700	214,800
Administration	235,094	316,990	291,669
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 12 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
14,293,903	3,240,094	5,148,890	5,904,919

Table 13 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	17,502,500	18,649,400	12,680,700
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	16,462,390	22,058,713	11,032,191
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	33,964,890	40,708,113	23,712,891

Table 14 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities**11g. Total**

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
112,679,797	37,204,984	45,857,003	29,617,810

Table 15 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

**Housing - Housing Development
Performance Measures Report**

Program Year 2012

Goal: The goal of the Ohio Development Services Agency and Ohio Housing Finance Agency's (OHFA) Housing Development Assistance Program (HDAP) is to support the capacity of housing development organizations and to provide financing for eligible housing projects to expand the supply of decent, safe, affordable housing for very low-income to moderate-income persons and households in the state of Ohio.

Objectives	Performance Measures	
Objective 1: Expand affordable rental housing opportunities for lower-income persons and families in Ohio by using HOME funds to provide gap financing in conjunction with other funding sources, including Ohio Housing Credits, to fund approximately 30 projects and create about 1,200 units of affordable rental housing units annually.	Number Affordable Units Created	439
	Number of Affordable Housing Projects Assisted	5
Objective 2: Create long-term affordable housing opportunities for residents of rental housing.	Number of unit-years of affordable housing created:	13,170
Objective 3: Expand rental opportunities for very low-income households by targeting families earning at or below 35% AMGI.	Number of households at or below 35% of area median income to benefit from affordable, HOME-assisted housing	36
Objective 4: Establish linkages between projects and local supportive services agencies.	Number of projects that will better serve residents through linkages with support service agencies	5
Objective 5: Reduce housing costs by 10% for lower-income families by encouraging energy-efficient units that also provide universal design features.	Number of lower-income households that will experience reduced housing costs of 10% or more through energy-saving / universal design features	439
Objective 6: Encourage the development of housing that serves households with MR/DD, Severe and Persistent Mental Illness or Mobility/Sensory Impairments.	Number of households with special needs that will be served by affordable housing units assisted with HOME funds	439
Objective 7: Encourage energy-efficient units that also provide universal design features.	Number of households that will benefit from HOME-assisted units that incorporate universal design and/or energy efficient features	439
Objective 8: Continue to review and refine the application process, minimizing barriers to accessing the program.	Number of comments received from advisory groups meetings	0
Objective 9: Use housing resources to improve the quality of living for low- to moderate-income households and provide housing for residents of Ohio with special needs.	Number of low- or moderate-income households that will benefit from HOME-assisted projects with community service linkages, energy saving or universal design features and/or that serve special needs households	439

**Housing - Community Housing
Development Organizations
Performance Measures Report**

Program Year 2012

Goal: To provide limited operating support to organizations to continue affordable housing development and to provide capacity building opportunities to new organizations.

Objectives	Performance Measures	
Objective 1: Offer continued support for eligible, existing grantees that meet agreed upon benchmarks and milestones in the production of affordable housing.	Total CHDO's	16
	CHDO's meeting benchmarks and goals	16
	Number of affordable projects produced by CHDO's	4
	Number of affordable units produced by CHDO's	239
Objective 2: Expand the program to include Sustaining Grants to CHDO's with service areas located in City/County Participating Jurisdictions.	Number of PJ CHDO's meeting production goals	7
	Percent of PJ CHDO's meeting production goals	100%
	Number of projects produced by PJ CHDO's	1
	Number of units produced by PJ CHDO's	147
Objective 3: Offer Capacity Building Grants to CHDO's new to the program.	Number of new non-PJ CHDO's meeting goals	N/A
	Projects by new non-PJ CHDO's	N/A
	Units by new non-PJ CHDO's	N/A

Responses to Comments on the Draft FY 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan

The Office of Community Development will hold the 30-day public comment period beginning on February 28, 2014, along with a Public Hearing that is scheduled for March 13, 2014 in the Riffe Center on the 19th Floor. All comments received along with the corresponding responses prepared by the Office of Community Development (OCD) and the Ohio Housing Finance Agency (OHFA) concerning the Draft FY 2014 Ohio Consolidated Plan will be included in this section.

Comment

A concern was raised regarding eligible jurisdictions that apply for CHIP funding, which in order to be eligible they must have applied in FY 2012 and 2013 and have an approved CHIS. This proposal could impact a total of 36 cities throughout the state that won't allow for them to join a partnership and they can't complete their CHIS because they've already missed their deadline.

Response

Thank you for your comment. OCD will take it under advisement.

Comment

The term sub-recipient should be defined.

Response

For the purposes of funding received through from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the term sub-recipient is defined at 24 CFR 570.500(c). OCD is in the process of developing further guidance.

Comment

Is New Construction under Homeownership Assistance only limited to Habitat for Humanity projects?
Under Administrative Costs, is it possible to set the maximum percent at 15% or \$80,000, whichever is greater?

Response

Yes, as stated.

Comment

Under Administrative Costs, is it possible to set the maximum percent at 15% or \$80,000, whichever is greater?

Response

The maximum percentage will remain at 12%.

Comment

He would like to see a table of communities not currently eligible. 80 cities are CHIP eligible and 36 cities not eligible and 3 counties not eligible.

Response

Thank you for your comment.

Comment

Why is there a limitation on having a maximum of three competitive set-aside applications?

Response

Since 3 is the maximum number of awards an applicant can receive, it makes sense to cap the number of applications permitted to 3. Communities must prioritize the top ranking projects for submission.

Comment

The 36 cities that can't apply for CHIP funding should be allowed to apply to the Community Development Program for housing activities.

Response

There is no prohibition to those jurisdictions accessing CHIP funds for housing activities through their home County's CHIP.

Comment

Under Community Assessment and Strategy (CAS), what will the new planning requirements be?

Response

OCD will provide specific guidance on this issue in the future, as this does not affect the FY 2014 State of Ohio Consolidated Plan.

Comment

Under commitment of community development funds, there should be a potential to commit CHIP funds to the projects listed.

Response

Communities will be able to attached information to the application to demonstrate coordination between their CHIP and Community Development Programs.

Comment

Under total funds, how much NSP Program Income has been collected to date? The state should take the option of allowing grantees with NSP Program Income to keep their Program Income under RLF Program Income agreements.

Response

The total amount is not known to date. OCD may provide this information once it has been compiled. No, as this would be difficult for OCD to comply with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's regulations.

Comment

Requested that items 7 and 11 from the Activities to Strengthen the Institutional Structure/Training and Technical Assistance be conducted.

Response

Thank you for your comment. OCD will take it under advisement.

Comment

Provide an update to the Community Development handbook that was prepared in 1991.

Response

Thank you for your comment. OCD will take it under advisement.

Comment

Under Homeownership Assistance – Resale/Recapture, why does option 1 apply to all cases with the exception of Habitat for Humanity projects, and Option 2 only apply to Habitat for Humanity projects?

Response

As a result of an audit, OCD was required to designate the use of either Resale or Recapture for all activities in the Consolidated Plan, and could no longer pass the decision to each State Recipient.

Comment

Requested the procedures that staff has developed for allowing the current owner to sign legal documents under Other Forms of Investment within the Program Specific Requirements for the HOME Program.

Response

Thank you for your comment. The Program Specific Requirements for the HOME Program has been updated accordingly.

Comment

Under Minority Business Enterprise Division, why is the widest circulation at least annually needed?

Response

The term "widest circulation at least annually" is included in the MBE/WBE Outreach Plan Guide Form.

Comment

The comment that has been submitted to the Office of Community Development, as well as the Ohio Housing Finance Agency indicates support and reinstatement of homeownership as an eligible activity within Housing Development Gap Financing.

Response

In the upcoming months, OHFA will begin the planning process to design a homeownership program which will meet the needs of the people served and those who develop the housing, and which fits with the funding sources currently available. You and others will have the opportunity to help us plan this program. We also hope to tap into new resources that may become available soon, such as the Federal Housing Trust Fund. OHFA anticipates that the new program design will be evaluated as a part of the 2015 Consolidated Plan planning process.